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Objective: To Compare compliance versus dead space (Vd) targeted positive end-expiratory pressure (PEEP) as regard its effect on lung mechanics and oxygenation.

Materials and Methods: This study was carried out on 30 adult acute respiratory distress syndrome patients. The ventilator was initially set on volume controlled with tidal volume (Vt) 7 mL/kg predicted body weight (PBW), inspiratory plateau pressure (Ppl) <30 cm H2O. If the Ppl was >30 cm H2O with a TV of 6 mL/kg PBW, a step-wise Vt reduction of 1 mL/kg PBW to as low as 4 mL/kg PBW was allowed. Respiratory rate adjusted to maintain pH 7.30-7.45. FiO2 start at 100%. Best PEEP determined at 2 points, one by titrating PEEP until reaching the highest static compliance (Cst) (PEEP Cst) and the other one is at the lowest Vd/Vt (PEEP Vd/Vt). The following data measured before and 30 min after setting PEEP Cst and PEEP Vd/Vt. Cst, PaCO2 - PetCO2, Vd/Vt, PaO2/FiO2, Ppl, heart rate, mean arterial pressure and oxygen saturation.

Results: optimum PEEP determined by Vd/Vt was significantly (P < 0.05) lower than the optimum PEEP determined by Cst. Best PEEP Vd/Vt showed a significant decrease (P < 0.05) in Cst, PaCO2 - PetCO2, Vd/Vt and Ppl in comparison with best PEEP Cst. The PaO2/FiO2 showed a significant increase (P < 0.05) with best PEEP Vd/Vt in comparison with best PEEP Cst.

Conclusion: Vd guided PEEP improved compliance and oxygenation with less Ppl. Hence, its use as a guide for best PEEP determination may be useful.
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Introduction

Acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) characterized by diffuse alveolar injury, edema, collapse and fibrosis. Protective lung strategy ventilation with low tidal volume (Vt or TV) and appropriate positive end-expiratory pressure (PEEP) improve oxygenation with less incidence of complication. Appropriate PEEP diminishes alveolar collapse, decreases pulmonary edema, maintains airway patency, decreases dead space (Vd) and improves oxygenation without hemodynamic compromise or alveolar overdistension.1

There were many methods for determination of optimal PEEP but no one proved to be superior or improved clinical outcomes. One of these methods is compliance guided PEEP, which consider the optimum PEEP that corresponds to the highest static compliance (Cst) achieved through PEEP titration. It was considered to be better than surrogate gas exchange.2

Measuring Vd fraction (Vd/Vt) is an indicator of ventilation efficiency; alveolar collapse and recruitment. Increase level of Vd/Vt indicates an increase in ventilation of poorly perfused alveoli secondary to ventilation-perfusion mismatch or overinflation of
normal compliant lung units. High-level PEEP may result in increased Vd and PaCO₂ – PetCO₂ difference.\[3\]

As a method of optimum PEEP determination in ARDS patients is a matter of debate. This study compared compliance versus Vd targeted PEEP as regard its effect on lung mechanics and oxygenation.

**Materials and Methods**

This study was carried out in surgical intensive care unit (SICU) in Tanta University Hospital on 30 adult patients of both sexes, age range (31–55 years), diagnosed as ARDS, admitted to the SICU between June 2010 and June 2013 after approval by the Hospital Ethical Committee and written informed consent was required for inclusion, and obtained from the nearest relatives.

**Inclusion criteria**

- Age more than 18 years old, mechanical ventilation via an orotracheal tube or tracheostomy, and after 24 h of fulfilling Berlin definition to confirm criteria of ARDS and exclude other causes of hypoxemia and pulmonary infiltrates.\[4\]

**Components of Berlin definition**

- Acute, meaning onset over 1 week or less
- Bilateral opacities consistent with pulmonary edema on computed tomography (CT) or chest radiograph
- PaO₂/FiO₂ ratio <300 mmHg with a minimum of 5 cm H₂O PEEP (or continuous positive airway pressure)
- This criterion must not be fully explained by cardiac failure or fluid overload, based on available information and “objective assessment” (e.g. echocardiogram) should be performed in most cases if there is no clear cause such as trauma or sepsis.

**Exclusion criteria**

- Intracranial hypertension
- Neuromuscular disease
- Patients with obstructive lung disease or with a history of bronchial asthma
- Left ventricular dysfunction (on echocardiography)
- Hemodynamically unstable patients or on high-dose vasopressor or inotropes
- Barotrauma as interstitial emphysema, pneumothorax, or subcutaneous emphysema
- Pregnant patients.

**Ventilatory parameters**

The ventilator set on volume controlled using ventilator (“inspiration” LS Ventilator series e-Vent) with Vt 7 mL/kg predicted body weight (PBW) (in female = [0.65 × height in cm] −50.74), (in male = [0.73 × height in cm] −59.42). Inspiratory plateau pressure (Ppl) <30 cm H₂O.

If the Ppl was >30 cm H₂O with a Vt of 6 mL/kg PBW, a stepwise Vt reduction of 1 mL/kg PBW to as low as 4 mL/kg/PBW was allowed. Respiratory rate adjusted to maintain adequate minute ventilation and pH between 7.30 and 7.45. Peak inspiratory flow rate adjusted for inspiratory: Expiratory ratio (I: E) 1:2. FiO₂ kept at 100% during the study period then decrease gradually with oxygenation goal of PaO₂ 55–80 mmHg or oxygen saturation (SpO₂) 88-95%.

All patients were continuously monitored for heart rate (HR), invasive blood pressure, SpO₂ and etCO₂ using (Nihon Kohden BSM-2301K) monitor and arterial blood gases done using (AVL-988).

The capnograph sensor was placed between the Y-piece and the endotracheal tube.

Lung recruitment maneuver: Done before PEEP titration by addition of 3 sighs/min, each of them generating Ppl at of 35–40 cm H₂O followed by return to previous ventilatory setting and start PEEP titration from 4 cm H₂O.\[5\]

**Method of positive end-expiratory pressure static compliance and positive end-expiratory pressure dead space/tidal volume determination**

All patients were deeply sedated with midazolam 0.2 mg/kg and paralyzed with cisatracurium 0.03 mg/kg. Patients were on FiO₂ 100% with incremental increasing levels of PEEP in steps of 2 cm H₂O, beginning at 4 cm H₂O, without an upper PEEP titration limit and during PEEP titration each pressure level was maintained for approximately 30 min unless hemodynamic variables deviated from the baseline by ≥15% or new arrhythmia emerged or increase in desaturation.

The PEEP which coincides with the highest Cst considered to be the best compliance guided PEEP (PEEP Cst). If at two different PEEPs the Cst was identical, we chose the one with the lower Ppl. Cst = Vt/ΔP (Ppl-PEEP) at end of inflation hold (2 s). The PEEP at which Vd/Vt is at lowest level considered to be the best Vd guided PEEP (PEEP Vd/Vt), above which Vd start to increase again. Vd/Vt = PaCO₂ – PetCO₂/PaCO₂. It represents physiologic Vd (anatomical Vd plus alveolar Vd).

It is measured before titration of PEEP, as we did not change anything related to the anatomic Vd, and the only
change is titration of PEEP which affect the alveolar $V_d$ thus this make any change in $V_d/V_t$ is representing the alveolar $V_d$.

**Measurements**

All the following data measured before and 30 min after setting each PEEP level (PEEP Cst and PEEP $V_d/V_t$) determined by PEEP titration.

**The primary outcome**

Level of PEEP coinciding with the highest compliance and lowest $V_d$.

**Secondary outcome**

- $P_aO_2/F_iO_2$
- $Cst = V_t/\Delta P (Ppl - PEEP)$
- $V_d/V_t = PaCO_2 - PetCO_2/PaCO_2$
- $Ppl$
- $HR$, mean arterial pressure (MAP) and $SpO_2$.

End point of the study to detect the PEEP at which $Cst$ and oxygenation improve and $V_d/V_t$ at lower level.

**Statistical analysis**

Analytic statistics was performed on IBM compatible computer by using SPSS 11.5 software package under Windows XP operating system. All results presented in the form of mean ± standard deviation. Data compared using paired Student’s $t$-test, $P < 0.05$ was considered as statistically significant.

**Results**

In this study best PEEP determined by $V_d/V_t$ was significantly ($P < 0.05$) lower than best PEEP determined by Cst [Table 1 and Figures 1-3].

The PEEP $V_d/V_t$ showed a significant decrease ($P < 0.05$) in $Ppl$ in comparison with PEEP Cst. The $P_aO_2/F_iO_2$ showed significant increase ($P < 0.05$) with PEEP $V_d/V_t$ in comparison with PEEP Cst [Table 2]. There was insignificant ($P > 0.05$) change in MAP, HR and $SpO_2$ between PEEP Cst and PEEP $V_d/V_t$. Mean number of ventilatory days were (19.4 ± 4.2) and mortality rate was 9/30 (30%). According to the severity of ARDS all patients, $P_aO_2/F_iO_2$ was 100–200 that is, moderate ARDS with mean value 158 ± 30.4.

**Discussion**

In ARDS patients’ protective lung ventilation with low $V_t$ and a suitable PEEP level, minimize ventilator-induced lung injury.[1]

Using PEEP maintains atelectatic areas in the lung opened and thereby reduced the risk of hypoxemia and cyclic recruitment/derecruitment. Although PEEP is widely used in clinical practice, its titration to

---

**Table 1: Level of optimum PEEP (cm H$_2$O) determined by Cst and by $V_d/V_t$**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PEEP (cm H$_2$O)</th>
<th>$P$ value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cst</strong></td>
<td><strong>Vd/Vt</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>10–14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean±SD</td>
<td>13±1.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Indicates statistical significance $P<0.05$ in comparison with PEEP Cst. PEEP: Positive end expiratory pressure; SD: Standard deviation; Cst: Static compliance; $V_t$: Tidal volume; $V_d$: Dead space

---

**Figure 1:** Effect of positive end expiratory pressure titration on static compliance

**Figure 2:** Effect of positive end expiratory pressure titration on dead space/tidal volume

**Figure 3:** Effect of positive end expiratory pressure titration on $PaCO_2-PetCO_2$
causes reduction in shunt and reduce Vd but excessive PEEP increases PaCO₂ − PetCO₂ gradient, this makes use of PaCO₂ − PetCO₂ gradient as guide for best PEEP determination useful.[10]

Many previous studies demonstrate an increase in Vd/Vt early in ARDS, which may reflect alteration of blood flow distribution either due to vasoconstriction or obstruction. Increase in Vd/Vt after application of PEEP may indicate alveolar overdistension.[11,12]

However, in the study by Smith and Fletcher found that PEEP has little effect on the elimination of CO₂ after cardiac surgery, and this conflicting result may be because they did not use blood gases and, therefore, they could not measure alveolar Vd or the arterial-end tidal PCO₂ difference. Furthermore, their study was on normal lung while this study was on ARDS.[13]

Murate and Muhammed found increase Vd/Vt during positive alveolar pressure mechanical ventilation. When Vd/Vt reaches 0.5, CO₂ elimination begins to decrease. Thus, CO₂ elimination can be used as a good marker of increased Vd and as a parameter to titrate PEEP.[14]

In a study done by Maisch et al. on patients with healthy lung undergoing surgery under general anesthesia, found PaO₂ and functional residual capacity cannot determine best PEEP because they cannot differentiate between increase number of functioning alveolar units and over distention. They suggest the use of compliance and Vd for PEEP determination. In contrast to our study, Cst and Vd/Vt PEEP was identical value. The difference between the two studies may be related to changes in lung mechanics associated with ARDS in our study.[15]

Table 2: Effect of PEEP Cst and PEEP Vd/Vt on lung mechanics and oxygenation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Before setting PEEP</th>
<th>30 min after PEEP Cst</th>
<th>30 min after PEEP Vd/Vt</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cst</td>
<td>23.2±5.3</td>
<td>41.3±4.1*</td>
<td>37.1±4.6**</td>
<td>P₁=0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P₂=0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P₃=0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vd/Vt</td>
<td>0.4±0.08 (0.2-0.48)</td>
<td>0.53±0.1* (0.37-0.57)</td>
<td>0.34±0.06** (0.29-0.36)</td>
<td>P₁=1.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P₂=0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P₃=0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PaCO₂-PetCO₂</td>
<td>12.3±1.8 (10-13)</td>
<td>14.6±1.5* (12-15)</td>
<td>8.6±2.3** (7-9)</td>
<td>P₁=0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P₂=0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P₃=0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PaO₂/FiO₂</td>
<td>158±30.4 (118-190)</td>
<td>207±28.6* (154-223)</td>
<td>235±27.8** (148-230)</td>
<td>P₁=0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P₂=0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P₃=0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ppl</td>
<td>24.4±3.6 (20-28)</td>
<td>32.3±2.2* (28-35)</td>
<td>27.6±1.9**(25-30)</td>
<td>P₁=0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(17 patients had Ppl&gt;30 cm H₂O)</td>
<td>6 patients had Ppl at 30 cm H₂O and 24 patients had Ppl&lt;30 cm H₂O</td>
<td>P₂=0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P₃=0.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Indicates statistical significance P<0.05 in comparison with before PEEP; †Indicates statistical significance P<0.05 in comparison with PEEP Cst; P₁: Compare PEEP Cst with before PEEP setting; P₂: Compare PEEP Vd/Vt with before PEEP setting; P₃: Compare PEEP Vd/Vt with PEEP Cst; PEEP: Positive end expiratory pressure; Ppl: Plateau pressure; Cst: Static compliance; Vt: Tidal volume; Vd: Dead space
In this study PEEP Vd/Vt showed significant improvement in compliance and oxygenation coincide with the lowest Vd and Ppl in comparison with PEEP Cst.

**Conclusion**

The individualized PEEP selection based on best Cst is associated with an increase in Vd which may be due to lung overdistension. This indicates the presence of a certain point below the highest Cst at which compliance and oxygenation improved and above which overdistension occur. On the other hand, Vd or PaCO2–PetCO2 gradient guided PEEP improved compliance and oxygenation with less Vd/Vt and Ppl. Hence, its use as a guide for best PEEP determination may be useful.
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