

Antibiotic sensitivity pattern of gram negative bacilli isolated from the lower respiratory tract of ventilated patients in the intensive care unit

Nidhi Goel, Uma Chaudhary, Ritu Aggarwal, Kiran Bala

Background: Lower respiratory tract infections (LRTIs) are the most frequent infections among patients in Intensive care units (ICUs). Aims: To know the bacterial profile and determine the antibiotic susceptibility pattern of the lower respiratory tract isolates from patients admitted to the ICU. Settings and Design: Tertiary care hospital, retrospective study. Materials and Methods: Transtracheal or bronchial aspirates from 207 patients admitted to the ICU were cultured, identified, and antibiotic sensitivity was performed by standard methods. Statistical Analysis Used: SPSS software was used for calculation of % R of 95% confidence interval (CI). Results: Of 207 specimens, 144 (69.5%) were culture positive and 63 (30.4%) showed no growth. From 144 culture positives, 161 isolates were recovered, of which 154 (95.6%) were Gram negative bacilli (GNB). In 17 (11.0%) patients, two isolates per specimen were recovered. The most common GNB in order of frequency were *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (35%), *Acinetobacter baumannii* (23.6%), and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (13.6%). A very high rate of resistance (80-100%) was observed among predominant GNB to ciprofloxacin, ceftazidime, co-trimoxazole, and amoxycillin/clavulanic acid combination. Least resistance was noted to meropenem and doxycycline. Conclusion: Nonfermenters are the most common etiological agents of LRTIs in ICU. There is an alarmingly high rate of resistance to cephalosporin and β-lactam-β-lactamase inhibitor group of drugs. Meropenem was found to be the most sensitive drug against all GNB. *Acinetobacter* and *Klebsiella* spp. showed good sensitivity to doxycycline.

Key words: Antibiotic susceptibility, gram negative bacilli, intensive care unit, ventilated patients

DOI: 10.4103/0972-5229.58540

Introduction

Abstract

Lower respiratory tract infections (LRTI) are the most common bacterial infections among patients in intensive care units (ICUs) occurring in 10-25% of all ICU patients and resulting in high overall mortality, which may range from 22-71%.^[1,2] Most common bacterial agents of LRTI in the ICU are *Pseudomonas, Acinetobacter, Klebsiella, Citrobacter, Escherichia coli*.^[3-5] In almost all cases, there is a need to initiate empirical antimicrobial treatment before obtaining the microbial results, but the situation

From:

Department of Microbiology, Pt. B. D. Sharma University of Health Sciences, Rohtak, India

Correspondence:

Dr. Nidhi Goel, Department of Microbiology, Pt. BDS University of Health Sciences, Rohtak, Haryana, India. E-mail: ngoel_2003@yahoo.com

is further complicated by the emergence of multiple beta lactamase producers and multidrug resistant pathogens. In a recent report, Infectious Disease Society of America, specifically addressed three categories of gram negative bacilli (GNB), namely extended spectrum beta lactamase (ESBL) producing Escherichia coli, and Klebsiella spp., Multidrug resistant (MDR) Pseudomonas, and carbapenem resistant Acinetobacter spp., as high priority bacterial pathogens.^[6] All these major reports indicate the need for obtaining data on prevalent strains in the ICU along with the susceptibility pattern, to help in revising antibiotic policy and guiding clinicians for the better management of patients. Prevalent flora and antimicrobial resistance pattern may vary from region to region depending upon the antibiotic pressure in that locality. Therefore, the present study was

designed to know the bacterial profile and determine the antimicrobial resistance pattern among the aerobic GNB isolated from LRT of patients admitted to the ICU of our institute.

Materials and Methods

The present retrospective study was conducted in the Microbiology department of a teaching tertiary care hospital during Jan-Dec 2007. Transtracheal or bronchoscopic aspirates collected aseptically from 207 patients of all age and sex groups requiring mechanical ventilation for at least three days were included in study. All samples were plated right after the collection and were further processed as per standard protocol.^[7] Single or mixed growth (two or more than two isolates per specimen) isolated from all the eligible consecutive samples were identified by observing the colony characteristic on the blood, Mac-Conkey agar plate and biochemical reactions using standard microbiological methods.^[7] Isolates from repeat culture of previously recruited patients and isolates identified as commensals or contaminants were excluded. Susceptibility testing was done by Disc diffusion method.^[8] The following antibiotics (Hi-Media Disc in mcg) were tested: Amikacin(Ak) (30), ciprofloxacin(Cf) (5), ofloxacin (Of) (5), aztreonam (Ao) (30), netilmicin (Nt) (30), doxycycline (Do) (30), cotrimoxazole (co) (25), ceftazidime (Cz) (30), ceftizoxime (Ck) (30), meropenem (Mr) (10), amoxycillin/ clavulanic acid (Ac) (20/10), piperacillin/tazobactum (TZP) (100/10). Zone diameter was measured and interpreted as per the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) guidelines. For quality control of disc diffusion tests ATCC control strains of E. coli ATCC 25922, S. aureus ATCC 25923 and P. aeruginosa ATCC 27853 strains were used.

Statistical analysis

For retrospective analysis, SPSS software was used for calculation of percentage resistance of 95% confidence interval (CI).

Results

During the study period, laboratory data of 207 patients whose LRT specimens were received in our laboratory was evaluated. Male to female ratio was 1.8:1. Out of the 207 patients, 70 were from the surgical ward, 62 from urology, 35 from medicine, 28 from nephrology, 12 from neuromedicine. Out of 207 specimens, 144 (69.5%) were culture positive whereas, 63 (30.43%) specimens showed no growth. From the 144 culture positive specimens, 161 isolates were recovered. Out of 161 isolates, 154 (95.6%) were GNB, three (1.86%) were *Candida* spp., and four (2.4%) were Gram positive cocci.

In 17 (11.0%) specimens, there were two isolates per specimen and 127 (82.4%) specimens showed growth of a single organism. Table 1 represents the distribution of micro organisms recovered from the LRT specimens of ICU patients. The most common GNB in order of frequency were P. aeruginosa (35%), Acinetobacter baumannii (23.6%) and Klebsiella pneumoniae (13.6%). Very high rate of resistance (60-100%) was observed among A. baumannii and K. pneumoniae isolates to ceftazidime, amoxyclav, ciprofloxacin, amikacin, and cotrimoxazole. Meropenem and doxycycline were the most effective in vitro drugs against A. baumannii, K. pneumoniae, and Enterobacter [Table 2]. P. aeruginosa isolates showed high rate of resistance to aztreonam (94.7%), netilmicin (70.2%), ceftazidime (68.4%), ceftizoxime (68.4%), and ofloxacin (68.4%) [Table 2]. Out of 57 isolates of P. aeruginosa, 23 (40%) were resistant to all the antibiotics used against *P. aeruginosa* in the panel. Meropenem was the most effective (77.2%) drug in vitro followed by piperacillin/tazobactum combination (50.5%) [Table 2]. Bacterial resistance rates (%R 95% CI) to doxycycline for various isolates except P. aeruginosa are given in Table 3.

Discussion

Pneumonia is a frequent complication in patients admitted to the ICU. It is frequently polymicrobial with predominently multi drug resistant GNB, such as *A. baumannii*, *P. aeruginosa*, *K. pneumoniae*, *E. coli*.^[7,9,10] In our study, 97.4% isolates were GNB. *P. aeruginosa* (35%) being the most common isolate followed by *A. baumannii* (23.6%) and *K. pneumoniae*. In 10.75% cases, two isolates were recovered from a single specimen, in contrast to the other study that reported two to three isolates per specimen in 16.3% cases.^[5] Antibiotic resistance is a major problem in ICU admitted patients. We noticed 100%, 96.9%, and 68.4% resistance to ceftazidime against *A. baumannii, Klebsiella* spp. and *P. aeruginosa*, respectively. Similar observations were made by other investigators that reported 96-100% resistance;^[11,12] whereas, other

| Organism | Bronchioalveolr lavage (%) | Tracheal aspirate (%) | Total (%) |
|--------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------|
| P. aeruginosa | 13 | 44 | 57 (35) |
| A. baumannii | 1 | 37 | 38 (23.6) |
| K. pneumoniae | 1 | 21 | 22(13.6) |
| Enterobacter spp. | 0 | 17 | 17 (10.5) |
| E. coli | 0 | 12 | 12 (7.4) |
| C. freundii | 0 | 8 | 8 (4.9) |
| S. aureus | 0 | 3 | 3 (1.8) |
| Coagulase negative | | | |
| S. aureus | 0 | 1 | 1 (0.6) |
| Candida spp. | 0 | 3 | 3 (1.8) |
| Total | 15 (9.3) | 146 (90) | 161 |

| Antimicrobials | A. baumannii (38) | K. pneumoniae (22) | C. freundii (8) | E. coli (12) | Enterobacter (17) | P. aeruginosa (57) |
|------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|-----------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| Cotrimoxazole | 79.5 | 90.9 | 100 | 100 | 100 | ND* |
| Doxycycline | 2.6 | 9.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ND |
| Amikacin | 87.2 | 63.6 | 75 | 41.7 | 72.2 | 61.4 |
| Netilmicin | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | 70.2 |
| Ciprofloxacin | 89.7 | 95.5 | 100 | 100 | 100 | ND |
| Ofloxacin | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | 68.4 |
| Ceftizoxime | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | 68.4 |
| Ceftazidime | 100 | 96.9 | 87.5 | 91.7 | 100 | 68.4 |
| Meropenam | 25.6 | 9.1 | 12.5 | 0 | 11.1 | 22.8 |
| Amoxyclav | 97.4 | 100 | 100 | 91.7 | 100 | ND |
| Ticarcillin/Tazobactam | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | 49.1 |
| Aztreonam | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | 94.7 |

Table 2: Antimicrobial resistance rates (%) for the gram negative bacilli recovered from lower respiratory tract secretions of intensive care unit patients

*ND - Not Done

Table 3: Bacterial resistance rates (%R 95%Cl) todoxycycline for various isolates recovered from lowerrespiratory tract specimens

| Organism (n) | %R* | %IS** | %S*** | %R 95%CI |
|------------------------|-----|-------|-------|----------|
| A. baumannii (38) | 2.6 | 64.1 | 33.3 | 0.1-15.1 |
| C. freundii (8) | 0 | 75 | 25 | 0.0-40.2 |
| Enterobacter spp. (17) | 0 | 94.4 | 5.6 | 0.0-21.9 |
| E. coli (12) | 0 | 83.3 | 16.7 | 0.0-30.1 |
| K. pneumoniae (22) | 9.1 | 77.7 | 13.6 | 1.6-30.6 |

*% R - Percentage resistance; **% IS - Percentage intermediate sensitive;

***%S - Percentage sensitive

workers have reported lower rate of resistance (37-67.5%) to ceftazidime.^[11,13] High rate of resistance at our center might be due to the selective influence of extensive usage of third generation cephalosporins. Carbapenems are frequently used as a last choice in treating serious infections caused by GNB. In our study, 25.6% isolates of Acinetobacter spp., 22.8% isolates of P. aeruginosa, and 9% isolates of *Klebsiella* spp., were resistant to meropenem in contrast to another study, where meropenem resistance was found in 14.2% isolates of A. baumannii and 12-42.5% isolates of *P. aeruginosa*, respectively.^[4,14] Another study reported 100% sensitivity to meropenem against Klebsiella spp.^[15] This finding suggests that meropenem should be used judiciously in ventilated patients to prevent any further increase in resistance to meropenem. Another important observation of our study was that doxycycline, an old drug not included in empirical treatment in ICUs, nowadays had shown good sensitivity against A. baumannii, Klebsiella spp., Enterobacter spp., E. coil, and Citrobacter spp. [Table 3]. Vila et al., reported 98% sensitivity to doxycycline among A. baumannii.^[16] We observed that 33% isolates of A. baumannii were sensitive and 64.4% strains were intermediate sensitive (IS) to doxycycline. Intermediate sensitive strains are amenable to treatment when large doses of the drug are used.^[17] We did not perform MIC of IS strains, since this is a retrospective analysis of laboratory data and strains were not preserved for further study. Further studies are required to evaluate the usefulness of doxycycline for ICU admitted patients.

Limits and outcome

One of the potential limitations of this study is that epidemiologic analysis, ESBL phenotypic detection and MIC of doxycycline was not carried out. Despite this limitation our data can be used for local therapeutic choices.

We conclude that nonfermenters are the most common etiological agents of LRTIs in ICU. There is an alarmingly high rate of resistance to cephalosporins, β lactam- β lactamase inhibitors, and carbapenem against predominant organisms. We suggest that further studies should be carried out to evaluate the usefulness of doxycycline against the ICU pathogens. Judicious use of older and newer antimicrobial agents is essential to prevent the emergence of multi drug resistant bacteria in the ICU.

References

- 1. Vincent JL, Bihari DJ, Suter PM, Bruining HA, White J, Nicolas-Chanoin MH, *et al.* The prevalence of nosocomial infection in intensive care units in Europe. JAMA 1995; 274:639-44.
- 2. Chastre J, Fagon JY. Ventilator-associated pneumonia. Am J Respir Crit Care M ed 2002;165:867-903.
- Navaneeth BV, Belwadi MR. Antibiotic resistance among gram-negative bacteria of lower respiratory tract secretion in hospitalized patients. Indian J Chest Dis Allied Sci 2002;44:173-6.
- Gonlugur U, Bakici MZ, Akkurt I, Efeoglu T. Antibiotic susceptibility patterns among respiratory isolates of Gram negative bacilli in Turkish University Hospital. BMC Microbiology 2004;4:32-4.
- 5. Mukhopadhyay C, Bhargava A, Ayyagari A. Role of mechanical ventilation and development of multidrug resistant organisms in hospital acquired pneumonia. Indian J Med Res 2003;118:229-35.
- Talbot GH, Bradley J, Edwards JE Jr, Gilbert D, Scheld M, Bartlett JG. Bad bugs need drugs: An update on the Development pipeline from the antimicrobial availability Task Force of the Infectious Diseases Society of America. Clin Infect Dis 2006;42:657-68 [erratum:2006;42:1065].
- Forbes BA, Sahm DF, Weissfeld AS, editors. Bacterial identification flow charts and schemes: A Guide to Part III. In: Bailey and Scott's diagnostic microbiology. 12th ed. Missouri: Mosby Elsevier; 2007. p. 251-3.
- 8. Clinical and Laboratory Standard Institute. Performance standards

for antimicrobial susceptibility: Sixteenth informational supplement. Wayne, PA, USA: CLSI:2006;M100-S16.

- Singh AK, Sen MR, Anupurba S, Bhattacharya P. Antibiotic sensitivity pattern of the bacteria isolated from nosocomial infection in ICU. J Commun Dis 2002;34:257-63.
- Prashanth K, Badrinath S. *In vitro* susceptibility pattern of *Acinetobacter* spp. to commonly used cephalosporins, quinolones and aminoglycosides. Indian J Med Microbiol 2004;22:97-9.
- Veena Kumari H.B, Agarathna SN, Chandramuki A. Antimicrobial resistance pattern among Aerobic gram negative bacilli of Lower Respiratory Tract Specimens of Intensive Care Unit in a Neuro centre. Indian J Chest Allied Dis 2007;49:19-22.
- Sofianou DC, Constandinidis TC, Yannacou M, Anastasiou H, Sofianos E. Analysis of risk factors for Ventilator associated pneumonia in a multidisciplinary intensive care unit. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 2000;19:460-3.
- Pfaller MA, Korten V, Jones RN, Doern GV. Multicentre evaluation of the antimicrobial activity for seven broad spectrum beta lactams in Turkey using the test method. Diag Microb Infect Dis 1999; 35:65-73.

- 14. Gladstone P, Rajendran P, Brahmadathan KN. Incidence of carbapenem resistant non fermenting gram negative bacilli from patients with respiratory infections in Intensive care units. Indian J Med Microbiol 2005;23:189-91.
- 15. Kucukates E, Kocazeybek B. High resistance rate against 15 different antibiotics in aerobic gram negative bacteria isolates of cardiology isolates of cardiology Intensive Care unit patients. Indian J Med Microbiol 2002;20:208-10.
- Vila J, Marcos A, Marco F, Abdalla S, Vergara Y, Reig R, et al. In vitro antimicrobial production of beta-lactamases, aminoglycoside-modifying enzymes, chloramphenicol acetyltransferase and susceptibility of clinical isolates of Acinetobacter bumannii. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 1993;37:138-41.
- University of Pennsylvania Medical centre Guidelines for Antibiotic use. Available from: http://www.uphs.upenn.edu/bugdrug/antibiotic_manual/ int.html. [Accessed on 2009 Aug 31].

Source of Support: Nil, Conflict of Interest: None declared.

Author Help: Online submission of the manuscripts

Articles can be submitted online from http://www.journalonweb.com. For online submission, the articles should be prepared in two files (first page file and article file). Images should be submitted separately.

1) First Page File:

Prepare the title page, covering letter, acknowledgement etc. using a word processor program. All information related to your identity should be included here. Use text/rtf/doc/pdf files. Do not zip the files.

2) Article File:

The main text of the article, beginning with the Abstract to References (including tables) should be in this file. Do not include any information (such as acknowledgement, your names in page headers etc.) in this file. Use text/rtf/doc/pdf files. Do not zip the files. Limit the file size to 1024 kb. Do not incorporate images in the file. If file size is large, graphs can be submitted separately as images, without their being incorporated in the article file. This will reduce the size of the file.

3) Images:

Submit good quality color images. Each image should be less than **2048 kb (2 MB)** in size. The size of the image can be reduced by decreasing the actual height and width of the images (keep up to about 6 inches and up to about 1800 x 1200 pixels). JPEG is the most suitable file format. The image quality should be good enough to judge the scientific value of the image. For the purpose of printing, always retain a good quality, high resolution image. This high resolution image should be sent to the editorial office at the time of sending a revised article.

4) Legends:

Legends for the figures/images should be included at the end of the article file.