

Effects of Hypoxic and Ischemic Clinical Conditions on the Outcomes of Acute Ischemic Stroke Patients

Umeshkumar Athiraman¹, Rene Tempelhoff², Menelaos Karanikolas³

ABSTRACT

Background: Several studies have shown the neuroprotective role afforded by hypoxic and ischemic preconditioning in cerebrovascular disorders. There are several clinical conditions which simulate the hypoxic and ischemic conditioning in humans. The aim of this retrospective study is to identify whether the presence of any clinical scenarios mimicking the hypoxic and ischemic conditions prior to the current acute ischemic stroke (AIS) has a neuroprotective role in these patients.

Materials and methods: Data were collected for patients >18 years of age who underwent endovascular treatment for AIS from January 2009 to June 2015. A good outcome was defined as modified Rankin score (mRS) of 0 to 3 at discharge and a poor outcome as mRS of 4–6. A logistic regression analysis was performed to identify independent predictors of outcomes at discharge in both groups. A *p* value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant for all analyses.

Results: A total of 102 patients, aged 67 ± 16 years with median preprocedural National Institute of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS) score 17.5 (1–36), were included. Twenty-one (21%) patients had a good outcome (mRS: 0–3) and 81 (79%) had a poor outcome (mRS: 4–6). A logistic regression analysis identified higher NIHSS score [odds ratio (OR): 1.251, confidence interval (CI): 1.11–1.40, *p* = 0.0002] and history of transient ischemic attack (TIA; OR: 7.881, CI: 1.05–21.01, *p* < 0.04) as predictors of a poor outcome at discharge.

Conclusion: Our data suggest that the occurrence of TIA preceding an AIS may be associated with the poor outcomes in patients with AIS, although this finding needs confirmation in larger studies.

Keywords: Acute ischemic stroke, Hypoxic conditioning, Ischemic conditioning.

Indian Journal of Critical Care Medicine (2020): 10.5005/jp-journals-10071-23349

INTRODUCTION

Conditioning is a strategy where a sublethal noxious stimulus presented to any tissue in the body invokes endogenous protective mechanisms so that the organ develops tolerance toward subsequent similar or stronger insult. A variety of conditioning agents have been shown to provide protection in a variety of organ systems through conditioning-based mechanisms.^{1–3} Although initially applied for cardiac protection, this application has been shown to elicit therapeutic benefits for various organ systems such as brain, liver, kidney, lung, pancreas, skin, skeletal and smooth muscle, and the peripheral vasculature.⁴ Conditioning against brain injury can be induced by various conditions and agents such as ischemia,^{4–7} hypoxia,^{6–9} anesthetics,³ thrombin,² lipopolysaccharides,¹ and many drugs some of which have already been approved by Food and Drug Administration.

Hypoxia and ischemia are the two most commonly studied conditioned stimuli in various central nervous system pathologies, and there are several animal and clinical studies which have shown the neuroprotective role of hypoxic and ischemic preconditioning in acute ischemic stroke (AIS).^{4–9} Certain clinical comorbidities, such as chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), obstructive sleep apnea (OSA),^{10,11} TIA,^{12–15} and peripheral vascular disease (PVD)¹⁶ may simulate the hypoxic and ischemic preconditioning state. The aim of our study is to assess the neurovascular protective role of various clinical scenarios which imitate hypoxic and ischemic conditions in patients who presented with AIS.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

After institutional review board approval, data were collected from all patients >18 years of age who underwent endovascular treatment of AIS at our comprehensive stroke center from January

^{1,3}Department of Anesthesiology, Washington University, St. Louis, Missouri, USA

²Department of Anesthesiology and Neurological Surgery, Washington University, St. Louis, Missouri, USA

Corresponding Author: Umeshkumar Athiraman, Department of Anesthesiology, Washington University, St. Louis, Missouri, USA, Phone: +1 314 286 0875, e-mail: uathira@wustl.edu

How to cite this article: Athiraman U, Tempelhoff R, Karanikolas M. Effects of Hypoxic and Ischemic Clinical Conditions on the Outcomes of Acute Ischemic Stroke Patients. *Indian J Crit Care Med* 2020;24(2): 104–108.

Source of support: Nil

Conflict of interest: None

2009 to June 2015. A total of 106 patients were treated during the study period. Out of 106, 102 patients had conscious sedation and 4 patients had general anesthesia. Patients treated under general anesthesia were excluded. Data on comorbid diseases, medication history, laboratory parameters, and social history were collected from electronic medical records. The NIHSS score and the mRS at discharge and at 3 months were obtained from the Department of Neurology database. The NIHSS score was evaluated by vascular neurology residents and fellows, and the mRS score was evaluated by stroke nurses certified in the use of the mRS instrument. The primary outcome measure is the mRS at discharge. A good outcome is defined as mRS of 0–3 and a poor outcome as mRS of 4–6.

Statistical Analysis

The clinical conditions of patients with a good outcome were compared with those of patients with a poor outcome using

the univariate analysis with Chi-square test, Fisher's exact test, independent *t* test, and Mann-Whitney test, as appropriate. Variables which are not normally distributed are represented as median (minimum, maximum). A logistic regression analysis was performed with a neurologic outcome at discharge as the dependent variable, using the ENTER method, to evaluate factors of interest (age, history of TIA, and pre-procedural NIHSS score) as independent predictors of a poor outcome at discharge. The overall fit of the regression model was assessed using the *c*-statistic, measuring the area under the receiver-operating characteristic (ROC) curve (AUC) and with Hosmer and Lemeshow test as a measure of goodness of fit. Statistical analyses were performed using MedCalc for Windows, version 18.11 (MedCalc Software, Ostend, Belgium). A *p* value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant for all analyses.

RESULTS

A total of 102 patients (81 men and 21 women), aged 67 ± 16 years, were included. The anterior cerebral circulation was affected in 88 patients (86%), and the median preprocedural NIHSS score was 17.5 (1–36). Overall, 21 (21%) patients had a good outcome (mRS: 0–3), and 81 (79%) had a poor outcome (mRS: 4–6).

Table 1 shows the various clinical conditions for patients with a good outcome vs patients with a poor outcome at discharge. In this series, patients with the good outcomes had significantly

lower NIHSS score ($p \leq 0.0001$) and lower incidence of TIA prior to the current stroke ($p = 0.007$). There was no significant difference between the two groups with respect to age, gender, hypertension, atrial fibrillation, diabetes, renal insufficiency, anemia, coronary artery disease, congestive heart failure, valvular problems, OSA, COPD, thyroid problems, pulmonary embolism, deep vein thrombosis, cancer history, smoking, alcohol, drug abuse, and use of medications.

Table 2 shows the subgroup analysis between patients with and without TIA. Significant differences between patients with and without TIA were found for the following variables: NIHSS score at the presentation ($p = 0.037$), history of stroke ($p \leq 0.0001$), hyperlipidemia ($p = 0.002$), hypertension ($p < 0.0001$), cardiomyopathy ($p = 0.005$), and hypothyroidism ($p = 0.03$). No significant differences were noted between the two groups for the variables age, gender, atrial fibrillation, diabetes, renal insufficiency, anemia, coronary artery disease, congestive heart failure, valvular problems, OSA, COPD, pulmonary embolism, deep vein thrombosis, cancer history, smoking, alcohol, drug abuse, and use of medications.

Table 3 shows the results of the logistic regression analysis done to identify independent factors predicting a poor outcome at discharge. The final multivariable logistic regression model had very good discrimination (*c*-statistic of 0.85) and good calibration (Hosmer-Lemeshow test, Chi-square = 7.47, $p = 0.48$) with overall

Table 1: Comparison of clinical characteristics in patients with good vs poor outcomes based on modified Rankin score

Variable	Good outcome (mRS: 0–3), n = 21	Poor outcome (mRS: 4–6), n = 81	<i>p</i> value
Age	70 (38, 86)	70 (19, 90)	0.719
Sex (F/M)	13/8	45/36	0.601
Hematocrit	36.6 (25, 46)	37 (19, 49)	0.331
Preprocedural NIHSS score	13 (1, 23)	20 (8, 36)	<0.0001
History of stroke	3	16	0.413
History of TIA	3	38	0.007
Hypertension	13	65	0.077
A-fib	6	28	0.603
Diabetes	6	25	0.839
Renal insufficiency	3	15	0.650
Anemia	13	47	0.747
COPD	2	12	0.413
Drug abuse	2	8	0.662
Alcohol use	5	23	0.675
Tobacco use	5	34	0.127
Coronary disease	6	24	0.924
Myocardial infarction	1	6	0.669
Valve disease	2	9	0.834
CHF	3	17	0.491
Cardiomyopathy	2	13	0.452
Hypothyroidism	1	13	0.163
PVD	0	3	0.497
DVT	0	3	0.497
PE	0	2	0.629
OSA	1	7	0.480

Data are presented as median (minimum, maximum) or frequency as appropriate; $p < 0.05$ is statistically significant; CHF, congestive heart failure; DVT, deep venous thrombosis; PE, pulmonary embolism; A-fib, atrial fibrillation

Table 2: Comparison of patients with vs without history of transient ischemic attack

Variable	Patients without TIA (n = 61)	Patients with TIA (n = 41)	p value
Age	66.7 ± 16.5	66.7 ± 15.4	0.997
Men/women	26/35	18/23	1.000
Hematocrit	36.2 ± 6.9	36.4 ± 5.8	0.882
Preprocedural NIHSS score	16 (7, 35)	20 (1, 36)	0.037
History of stroke	3	16	<0.0001
HLD	23	28	0.002
Hypertension	39	39	<0.0001
A-fib	19	15	0.568
Diabetes	15	16	0.120
Renal insufficiency	9	9	0.350
Anemia	35	25	0.717
COPD	9	5	0.713
Drug abuse	8	2	0.308
Alcohol use	18	10	0.570
OSA	3	5	0.180
Tobacco use	24	15	0.779
Coronary disease	13	17	0.029
MI	3	4	0.343
Valve disease	6	5	0.706
CHF	10	10	0.319
Cardiomyopathy	4	11	0.005
Hypothyroidism	12	2	0.033
PVD	2	1	0.806
DVT	3	0	0.149
PE	1	1	1.000

Data are summarized as mean ± standard deviation, median (minimum, maximum) or number of cases. Variables were compared between groups using Student's *t* test, Mann-Whitney, Chi-square, or Fisher's exact test as appropriate; CHF, congestive heart failure; DVT, deep venous thrombosis; PE, pulmonary embolism; MI, myocardial infarction; HLD, hyperlipidemia; A-fib, atrial fibrillation

Table 3: Logistic regression analysis to evaluate predictors of a poor outcome at discharge

Variable	p value	OR	95% CI
Age	0.48	0.98	0.95–1.02
History of TIA	0.04	4.70	1.05–21.01
Preprocedural NIHSS score	0.0002	1.25	1.11–1.40

Values are represented as OR and 95% CI; *p* < 0.05 is statistically significant

AUC 0.85 (Fig. 1). The NIHSS score (OR: 1.25, CI: 1.11–1.40, *p* = 0.0002) and previous TIA (OR: 4.70, CI: 1.05–21.01, *p* = 0.04) were shown to be significant predictors of a poor outcome at discharge.

DISCUSSION

The main finding in our study is that the patients with history of previous TIA and subsequent AIS have poor prognosis compared with patients without history of TIA. This finding contradicts the findings of several clinical and animal studies where TIA was shown to confer neurovascular protection, presumably due to ischemic preconditioning, although controversial evidence exists related to the history of previous TIA and the outcomes of consequent AIS.^{12–25} Ischemic stroke is one of the common reasons for mortality and morbidity throughout the world, and it is well known that the




Fig. 1: Receiver operating characteristic curve for the predictor variables and the entire model. Area under the curve for the overall model is 0.851

occurrence of TIA increases the risk of consecutive ischemic stroke.²⁶ Knowledge regarding the effects of TIA on the subsequent attack of the ischemic stroke is critical as therapies can be effectively used to prevent the recurrent stroke.



Ischemic conditioning is a commonly applied powerful strategy which is shown to have strong protective effects in various organs, including the brain.²⁷ Ischemic conditioning not only provides neuronal protection but also protection against other components of the neurovascular network such as glia and vascular endothelial cells.²⁷ The neuroprotection through ischemic conditioning is proposed to occur through multiple mechanisms such as (1) depression of cerebral metabolism and activity, (2) activation of signaling pathways and mechanisms at the cellular level, (3) changes in mitochondrial functions, and (4) alterations of excitatory and inhibitory neurotransmitters and other processes.²⁷

Clinical conditions such as TIA, COPD, OSA, and PVD may result in a naturally occurring ischemic preconditioning process. Obstructive sleep apnea is a disease condition where patients are exposed to repeated short episodes of hypoxemia through multiple apneic episodes during sleep. The intermittent hypoxia caused by these apneic episodes simulates the ischemic/hypoxic conditioning and stimulates the adaptive responses responsible for the neuroprotection.^{10,11} Similarly, patients with PVD and chronic limb hypoperfusion can provide neuroprotection against ischemic stroke through a concept called remote ischemic preconditioning.¹⁶ It is difficult to exclude the effects of these clinical conditions on the severity of ischemic stroke in our study because of the relatively small sample size.

Transient ischemic attack is a process which naturally correlates well with ischemic preconditioning. Few articles suggest that history of TIA is associated with the poor outcomes in ischemic stroke patients presented that (1) silent infarcts or TIAs did not influence the long-term outcomes or mortality of ischemic stroke patients,^{28,29} (2) the concept of ischemic preconditioning may be lost in elderly patients due to the age-related loss of endogenous mechanisms protecting against cerebral ischemia,^{19,22} and (3) history of TIA is associated with a poor functional outcome at 3 months and the atherothrombotic stroke and vascular risk factors were higher in the TIA group compared with patients without TIA.¹⁷

Articles supporting the role of TIA in ischemic preconditioning have shown that (1) previous ipsilateral TIAs with subsequent anterior circulation stroke present with less stroke severity and have better functional outcomes,¹⁵ (2) the duration of TIA and the timing of the subsequent stroke may have an impact on prognosis^{15,18,22} although this was not supported by Weber et al.,¹³ (3) previous TIA in the same vascular territory of the current stroke may have better prognosis,²³ (4) have a protective role in lacunar¹⁹ and nonlacunar ischemic strokes,¹² (5) lower stroke severity at presentation,¹³ and (6) preceding TIA was associated with reduced hospitalization case fatality rate.²⁵ Although controversial evidence exists in reference to the protective effects of TIA on the ischemic stroke, it has been shown that TIA is protective if it is recent, occurred multiple times with a shorter duration.

The results from our study do not completely rule out the protective effects of ischemic conditioning in the ischemic stroke patients, because the outcome after AIS depends on several factors, including (1) acuity vs chronicity of the TIA; (2) latency of TIA to the successive stroke, number of TIAs before the stroke, side, vascular territory, and severity of the previous TIA; (3) etiology of the stroke; and (4) treatments provided for the TIA and the subsequent infarction.

Limitations of our study include (1) the fact that this is a single-center study; (2) retrospective data collection; (3) relatively small sample size and possible selection bias, so that the study is

likely underpowered to evaluate clinically important associations between all hypoxic/ischemic conditions with the neurologic outcomes; (4) could not retrieve the information regarding anterior vs posterior TIA and their treatment with antiplatelet medications; and (5) classification of the outcomes with mRS (0–3)^{30,31} was based on the functional independence although many agree that mRS (0–2) better reflects the reality of the good outcome. The poor outcome trend in our patient group may be related to our previous selection criteria for the procedure. Nevertheless, prophylactic measures should be taken to mitigate or prevent risk factors after the occurrence of TIA, in an attempt to reduce the risk of subsequent ischemic stroke.

CONCLUSION

Our data suggest that history of previous TIA and higher NIHSS score at presentation are predictors of the poor outcomes at discharge in patients with AIS. Despite the significant limitations of this study, measures should be taken to minimize the risk factors after TIA in an attempt to reduce the risk of subsequent stroke.

REFERENCES

- Zimmermann C, Ginis I, Furuya K, Klimanis D, Ruetzler C, Spatz M, et al. Lipopolysaccharide-induced ischemic tolerance is associated with increased levels of ceramide in brain and in plasma. *Brain Res* 2001;895(1–2):59–65. DOI: 10.1016/S0006-8993(01)02028-5.
- Hua Y, Keep RF, Hoff JT, Xi G. Thrombin preconditioning attenuates brain edema induced by erythrocytes and iron. *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab* 2003;23(12):1448–1454. DOI: 10.1097/01.WCB.0000090621.86921.D5.
- Milner E, Johnson AW, Nelson JW, Harries MD, Gidday JM, Han BH, et al. HIF-1 α mediates isoflurane-induced vascular protection in subarachnoid hemorrhage. *Ann Clin Transl Neurol* 2015;2(4):325–337. DOI: 10.1002/acn3.170.
- Lim SY, Hausenloy DJ. Remote ischemic conditioning: from bench to bedside. *Front Physiol* 2012;3:27. DOI: 10.3389/fphys.2012.00027.
- Bedside TJ, Hedstrom A, O'Sullivan S, Donnelly R, Barrett DA, Sarmad S, et al. RECAST (remote ischemic conditioning after stroke trial): a pilot randomized placebo controlled phase II trial in acute ischemic stroke. *Stroke* 2017;48(5):1412–1415. DOI: 10.1161/STROKEAHA.116.016429.
- Stetler RA, Leak RK, Gan Y, Li P, Zhang F, Hu X, et al. Preconditioning provides neuroprotection in models of CNS disease: paradigms and clinical significance. *Prog Neurobiol* 2014;114:58–83. DOI: 10.1016/j.pneurobio.2013.11.005.
- Dirnagl U, Becker K, Meisel A. Preconditioning and tolerance against cerebral ischaemia: from experimental strategies to clinical use. *Lancet Neurol* 2009;8(4):398–412. DOI: 10.1016/S1474-4422(09)70054-7.
- Baillieux S, Chacaroun S, Doutreleau S, Detante O, Pépin JL, Verges S. Hypoxic conditioning and the central nervous system: a new therapeutic opportunity for brain and spinal cord injuries? *Exp Biol Med* (Maywood) 2017;242(11):1198–1206. DOI: 10.1177/1535370217712691.
- Lin AM, Dung SW, Chen CF, Chen WH, Ho LT. Hypoxic preconditioning prevents cortical infarction by transient focal ischemia-reperfusion. *Ann NY Acad Sci* 2003;993:168–178, discussion 195–196. DOI: 10.1111/j.1749-6632.2003.tb07527.x.
- Lavie L. Oxidative stress in obstructive sleep apnea and intermittent hypoxia--revisited--the bad ugly and good: implications to the heart and brain. *Sleep Med Rev* 2015;20:27–45. DOI: 10.1016/j.smrv.2014.07.003.
- Brzecka A. Brain preconditioning and obstructive sleep apnea syndrome. *Acta Neurobiol Exp (Wars)* 2005;65(2):213–220.
- Arboix A, Cabeza N, García-Eroles L, Massons J, Oliveres M, Targa C, et al. Relevance of transient ischemic attack to early neurological recovery after nonlacunar ischemic stroke. *Cerebrovasc Dis* 2004;18(4):304–311. DOI: 10.1159/000080356.

13. Weber R, Diener HC, Weimar C, German Stroke Study Collaboration. Why do acute ischemic stroke patients with a preceding transient ischemic attack present with less severe strokes? Insights from the German Stroke Study. *Eur Neurol* 2011;66(5):265–270. DOI: 10.1159/000331593.
14. Sitzer M, Foerch C, Neumann-Haefelin T, Steinmetz H, Misselwitz B, Kugler C, et al. Transient ischaemic attack preceding anterior circulation infarction is independently associated with favourable outcome. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2004;75(4):659–660. DOI: 10.1136/jnnp.2003.015875.
15. Moncayo J, de Freitas GR, Bogousslavsky J, Altieri M, van Melle G. Do transient ischemic attacks have a neuroprotective effect? *Neurology* 2000;54(11):2089–2094. DOI: 10.1212/WNL.54.11.2089.
16. Connolly M, Bilgin-Freiert A, Ellingson B, Dusick JR, Liebeskind D, Saver J, et al. Peripheral vascular disease as remote ischemic preconditioning, for acute stroke. *Clin Neurol Neurosurg* 2013;115(10):2124–2129. DOI: 10.1016/j.clineuro.2013.07.038.
17. Hoshino T, Mizuno S, Shimizu S, Uchiyama S. Clinical features and functional outcome of stroke after transient ischemic attack. *J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis* 2013;22(3):260–266. DOI: 10.1016/j.jstrokecerebrovasdis.2011.08.010.
18. Wang WW, Chen DZ, Zhao M, Yang XF, Gong DR. Prior transient ischemic attacks may have a neuroprotective effect in patients with ischemic stroke. *Arch Med Sci* 2017;13(5):1057–1061. DOI: 10.5114/aoms.2016.63744.
19. Kim JG, Choi H, Sohn SY, Kim DH, Lee SJ. Transient ischemic attacks preceding acute lacunar infarction. *Eur Neurol* 2016;76(5–6):278–283. DOI: 10.1159/000452487.
20. Schaller B. Ischemic preconditioning as induction of ischemic tolerance after transient ischemic attacks in human brain: its clinical relevance. *Neurosci Lett* 2005;377(3):206–211. DOI: 10.1016/j.neulet.2004.12.004.
21. Della Morte D, Abete P, Gallucci F, Scaglione A, D'Ambrosio D, Gargiulo G, et al. Transient ischemic attack before nonlacunar ischemic stroke in the elderly. *J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis* 2008;17(5):257–262. DOI: 10.1016/j.jstrokecerebrovasdis.2008.03.004.
22. Fu Y, Sun JL, Ma JF, Geng X, Sun J, Liu JR, et al. The neuroprotection of prodromal transient ischaemic attack on cerebral infarction. *Eur J Neurol* 2008;15(8):797–801. DOI: 10.1111/j.1468-1331.2008.02188.x.
23. Weih M, Kallenberg K, Bergk A, Dirnagl U, Harms L, Wernecke KD, et al. Attenuated stroke severity after prodromal TIA: a role for ischemic tolerance in the brain? *Stroke* 1999;30(9):1851–1854. DOI: 10.1161/01.STR.30.9.1851.
24. Huang HL, Wang N, Zhou H, Yu CY. Study on influence of transient ischemic attack on subsequent cerebral infarction. *Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci* 2016;20(24):5164–5167.
25. Zsuga J, Gesztelyi R, Juhasz B, Kemeny-Beke A, Fekete I, Csiba L, et al. Prior transient ischemic attack is independently associated with lesser in-hospital case fatality in acute stroke. *Psychiatry Clin Neurosci* 2008;62(6):705–712. DOI: 10.1111/j.1440-1819.2008.01874.x.
26. Rothwell PM, Giles MF, Flossmann E, Lovelock CE, Redgrave JN, Warlow CP, et al. A simple score (ABCD) to identify individuals at high early risk of stroke after transient ischaemic attack. *Lancet* 2005;366(9479):29–36. DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(05)66702-5.
27. Wang Y, Reis C, Applegate 2nd R, Stier G, Martin R, Zhang JH. Ischemic conditioning-induced endogenous brain protection: applications pre-, per- or post-stroke. *Exp Neurol* 2015;272:26–40. DOI: 10.1016/j.expneurol.2015.04.009.
28. Boon A, Lodder J, Heuts-van Raak L, Kessels F. Silent brain infarcts in 755 consecutive patients with a first-ever supratentorial stroke. *Stroke* 1994;25(12):2384–2390. DOI: 10.1161/01.STR.25.12.2384.
29. Brainin M, McShane LM, Steiner M, Dachenhausen A, Seiser A. Silent brain infarcts and transient ischemic attacks. A three-year study of first-ever ischemic stroke patients: the Klosterneuburg Stroke Data Bank. *Stroke* 1995;26(8):1348–1352. DOI: 10.1161/01.STR.26.8.1348.
30. Naidech AM, Beaumont JL, Berman M, Francis B, Liotta E, Maas MB, et al. Dichotomous “Good Outcome” indicates mobility more than cognitive or social quality of life. *Crit Care Med* 2015;43(8):1654–1659. DOI: 10.1097/CCM.0000000000001082.
31. Potter JF, Robinson TG, Ford GA, Mistri A, James M, Chernova J, et al. Controlling hypertension and hypotension immediately post-stroke (CHHIPS): a randomised, placebo-controlled, double-blind pilot trial. *Lancet Neurol* 2009;8(1):48–56. DOI: 10.1016/S1474-4422(08)70263-1.