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ABSTRACT

Aims: The prolonged use of benzodiazepines and opioids can lead to an increase in the incidence of withdrawal syndrome. One of the known risk factors is the lack of a sedative-weaning protocol. This study established a sedative-weaning protocol and compared this protocol with the usual care of weaning in high-risk critically ill children.

Materials and methods: This was an open-label, randomized controlled trial in a tertiary-care hospital. We recruited children aged 1 month to 18 years who had received intravenous sedative or analgesic drugs for at least 5 days. The exclusion criteria were patients who had already experienced the withdrawal syndrome. We established a weaning protocol. Eligible patients were randomly divided into the protocolized (intervention) and usual care (control) groups. The primary objective was to determine the prevalence of the withdrawal syndrome compared between two groups.

Results: Thirty eligible patients were enrolled (19 in the intervention and 11 in the control group). Baseline characteristics were not significantly different between both the groups. The prevalence of the withdrawal syndrome was 84% and 81% in the intervention and control group, respectively. The duration of the initial weaning phase was shorter in the intervention group than in the control group (p value = 0.006). The cumulative dose of morphine solution for rescue therapy in the intervention group was statistically lower than that in the control group (p value = 0.016).

Conclusion: The implementation of the sedative-weaning protocol led to a significant reduction in the percentage of withdrawal days and length of intensive care unit stay without any adverse drug reactions. External validation would be needed to validate this protocol.
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INTRODUCTION

Sedative and analgesic medications, particularly benzodiazepines and opioids, are widely used in pediatric intensive care units (PICU) to facilitate care and provide physical comfort for critically ill children. These agents reduce anxiety, provide pain relief, enhance ventilator synchrony, and increase procedural success rate with less patient discomfort. However, prolonged use of these medications may lead to iatrogenic withdrawal syndrome (IWS) during weaning, especially within 24–72 hours after weaning.1–4 Generally, the incidence rate of IWS among patients admitted to PICU is 34–57%.5,6 The incidence rate can reach 80–100% if sedation is extended for greater than 5 days with continuous infusions.2,6 These patients not only suffer from withdrawal symptoms, such as insomnia, abnormal movements, tachycardia, sweating, vomiting, and diarrhea,6 but also from unnecessary investigations that consume time, money, and resources. Furthermore, the IWS can lead to prolonged mechanical ventilation and lengthening of PICU stay.7

Risk factors for IWS include younger age, prolonged exposure, high cumulative doses of sedative medications, type of sedative agents, and the route of administration.8 One of the important risk factors is the lack of a sedative-weaning protocol.9 Presently, there is no worldwide, standardized sedative-weaning protocol. Sedation is usually weaned depending on physician practice. The objectives of this study were to establish a sedative-weaning protocol and to compare the withdrawal symptom rates between our sedative-weaning protocol and the usual care weaning in the at-risk critically ill children.


SOURCE OF SUPPORT: Nil

CONFLICT OF INTEREST: None

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patients

This study was an open-label, randomized controlled trial comparing the sedation-weaning protocol (intervention group) with the usual care (control group). Eligible participants included...
all ventilated patients aged 1 month to 18 years who had received opioid or benzodiazepine continuous infusions for at least 5 days. Children who had already experienced IWS, allergy to methadone, received potential risk of serious drug interaction with methadone, end-stage disease, and refusal of informed consent were excluded. The study was performed between March 2017 and February 2018 in a PICU in a tertiary-care academic center in Thailand. Before initiation, this trial was registered online at the US National institutes of Health (ClinicalTrials.gov) # NCT03018977. This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. All participants provided informed written consent.

Established Sedation-weaning Protocol

The PICU physicians and clinical pharmacist established the sedation-weaning protocol based on previous studies. Fentanyl infusion was converted to oral methadone based on patients’ weight and preconversion dose per day. Midazolam infusion was converted to oral lorazepam (Flowchart 1). The conversion ratio of fentanyl to oral methadone was 1:6.5. The conversion ratio of midazolam to intravenous lorazepam was 1:0.5 and intravenous lorazepam to oral lorazepam was 1:2. Therefore, the conversion ratio of intravenous midazolam to oral lorazepam was 1:1.

However, for safety reasons, we decided to use the ratio of 1:0.1. The overlapping period from initiation of oral sedative agents to discontinuation of opioid/benzodiazepine infusion was 12 hours. Patients were classified into two groups as high-risk and low-risk groups after lorazepam and methadone doses were achieved for 24 hours without withdrawal symptoms (see in the definitions part). In the high-risk group, either methadone or lorazepam dose was reduced by 10% of the pretaper dose every day, while in the low-risk group these medications were reduced by 20% of pretaper dose everyday. If patients received more than one medication, each medication was reduced every other day. The bedside nurses used the Withdrawal Assessment Tool-Version1 (WAT-1) for assessing the withdrawal syndrome. The WAT-1 scale ranges from 0 to 12, with higher scores indicating more withdrawal symptoms. A WAT-1 score >3 was indicative of the withdrawal syndrome. When the

Flowchart 1: Protocol for intervention group: Initial phase. *Reduced doses 50% in patients who had renal or hepatic impairment
WAT-1 score >3, morphine solution syrup and midazolam were used as rescue medications for withdrawal syndrome. If this occurred, the dose of the respective medications would increase back to the previous level, and weaning would be held for 24 hours. The sedation-weaning protocol had two phases, an initial phase and a tapering phase as shown in Flowcharts 1 and 2.

Methods
All sedated patients were assessed for the level of sedation using the state behavioral scale (SBS).11 The target of SBS was 0 to (−2) in the ventilated patient who relies on the severity of disease, and the target of SBS was 0 to (−1) during the mechanical ventilator weaning. Demographic data were recorded, including age, sex, comorbid disease, all sedative and rescue medications, withdrawal symptoms, and any adverse events during the study. When patients were considered clinically ready to be weaned off sedative medications, they were randomly assigned into two groups by stratified block of four using a computer-generated assignment. A stratified randomization was performed for patients with high risk or low risk of withdrawal syndrome. The control group was managed by four pediatric intensivists, while the intervention group was managed using the sedation-weaning protocol and was controlled by pediatric residents or pediatric critical care fellows. When sedated patients were ready for weaning, the fentanyl and midazolam infusions were weaned to 1–2 μg/kg/hour and 0.1–0.2 mg/kg/hour as per physician discretion, respectively (see Flowchart 1). The parenteral sedative medications were calculated to total daily dose and switched to enteral medication based on the conversion ratio as described earlier. The WAT-1 score was recorded by bedside nurses every 4 hours with continuous monitoring until all sedative medications were stopped for 72 hours. When the WAT-1 was score >3, all physicians were notified to rule out other causes of high scores. If the IWS was confirmed, the rescue agent was given and the recording was done every hour. We used the Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials (CONSORT 2010) guideline in the reporting of the methods, results, and discussion of this study.12

Definitions
Patients who had a high risk of withdrawal syndrome were defined as those who had at least one of the following findings: (1) total cumulative dose of fentanyl greater than 0.5 mg/kg; (2) cumulative equivalent dose of midazolam greater than 40 mg/kg; and (3) the duration of intravenous continuous opioid/sedative infusion was over 10 days.6

The withdrawal syndrome was defined as a WAT-1 score >3.10

The percentage of withdrawal days was defined as the numbers of days that patients developed withdrawal symptoms divided by total weaning days. Total weaning days was defined as the duration from the time that patient switches from intravenous opioid/sedative to enteral medication until the successful discontinuance of all sedative medications.

The initial phase was defined as the period of transition from continuous intravenous infusion of fentanyl 1–2 μg/kg/hour and

Flowchart 2: Protocol for intervention group: Tapering phase. *Reduced doses 50% in patients who had renal or hepatic impairment

A stable methadone and lorazepam dose is achieved

Is the patient in high-risk group? ≥1 of the following:
1. A cumulative dose ≥ 40 mg/kg of midazolam equivalent doses
2. A cumulative dose > 0.5 mg/kg of fentanyl equivalent doses
3. Duration of sedative administration > 10 days

Yes

↓ Methadone or lorazepam by 10% of pre-taper dose every day

If patients on multiple agents, ↓ each agent every other day

High-risk group

WAT-1 ≥ 3

Stop weaning for 24 hours

Yes

Continue weaning

No

WAT-1 q 4 hr with pm if suspicious until 72 hours after drug cessation

WAT-1 ≥ 3

Restart at previous dose before cessation

No further intervention

Low-risk group

↓ Methadone or lorazepam by 20% of pre-taper dose every day

Note: - If withdrawal symptoms/scores do not improve or worsen in ≥5 day, consider adding clonidine 2-5 mcg/kg (max 0.3 mg/dose) q 8 hr
midazolam 0.1–0.2 mg/kg/hour converted to steady doses of enteral medication (Flowchart 1).

The tapering phase was defined as the period from steady doses of enteral medications until the cessation of all sedative medications (Flowchart 2).

**Outcome Measurement**

The primary outcome was the prevalence of withdrawal syndrome. The secondary outcomes were length of hospitalization, duration of sedative weaning time, duration of mechanical ventilation, duration of using noninvasive positive pressure ventilation, and sedation-related adverse events.

**Statistical Analysis**

The sample size was calculated by two independent proportion formula with a power of 80% and a two-tailed alpha risk of 0.05. We calculated the sample size estimation based on the incidence of IWS among patients after exposure to sedative agents in previous studies. This study aimed to decrease the withdrawal syndrome from 80% to 40%. The sample size was 23 patients in each group.

Statistical analysis was performed using the SPSS (version 20.0; IBM, Armonk, NY). Demographic data were descriptively analyzed for statistically significant differences between the intervention group and the control group. Outcome measures were reported using median (interquartile range, IQR). The unpaired Student’s t-test and Mann–Whitney U test were used for continuous variables with and without normal distribution, respectively. Chi-square and Fisher exact test were used for categorical variables. The per-protocol analysis was used. A p value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant, and mean difference or risk ratio with 95% confidence interval (CI) was reported.

**Results**

From March 2017 to February 2018, a total of 489 patients were admitted to the PICU and were assessed for eligibility. Eighty-four patients were eligible. Of these, 46 patients were excluded: 36 due to potent drug interaction with methadone (for QTc prolongation) and 10 refused informed consent. Thirty-eight patients were randomized, 21 into the protocol group and 17 into the usual care group. During the weaning process, 8 patients were excluded. Five patients were suspected of developing allergy to enteral sedative drugs. Two patients were not allowed to take oral medications due to worsening gastrointestinal problems, and one patient was suspected of delirium. As a result, 30 patients remained in the study protocol, which were 19 patients in the protocol group and 11 patients in the usual care group (Flowchart 3).

The median age was 1.65 years, with 17 (57%) males enrolled. All patients received mechanical ventilation with the median duration of 10 days before sedative weaning. The prevalence of IWS was 83%. The baseline characteristics were not significantly different between the two groups, except PRISM III (Table 1). The sedative medications included fentanyl, midazolam, dexmedetomidine, and chloral hydrate. These medications prior to the study were not different in the protocol and the usual care groups in terms of dose and duration. There were 11 and 6 patients with a high risk of withdrawal syndrome in the protocol group and usual care group, respectively. Nineteen (63%) patients developed withdrawal symptoms within 24 hours after starting weaning sedative medications. In addition, there were four patients (two patients in the protocol group) who developed the withdrawal syndrome after all weaning medications were stopped.

The prevalence of the IWS was not different between the groups (84% and 81% in the protocol and the usual care group, respectively). The prevalence of IWS and clinical outcomes are shown in Table 2. The protocol group had a significantly lower percentage of withdrawal days than the usual care group (mean difference [95%CI]: 17.85 [4.43–31.27], p value = 0.011). Length of ICU stay was significantly longer in the usual care group than in the protocol group (mean difference [95%CI]: 13.64 [0.58–26.7], p value = 0.041). The dosages of the weaning sedative agents (methadone and lorazepam) were not significantly different between the groups in terms of average doses, cumulative doses, and duration (Table 2).

**Discussion**

Mechanically ventilated children require sedative and/or analgesic medications to facilitate intensive care. The prevalence rate of IWS is high, particularly in those patients receiving sedative medications for longer than 5 days. A previous study showed the incidence of IWS was greater than 80% despite the use of a weaning protocol strategy, and the incidence of IWS approached 100% in patients who received sedative medication for longer than 9 days. In our study, the median duration of fentanyl and midazolam was 9 and 8 days, respectively. The prevalence of IWS in our study was 83%. Previous studies have shown the onset of withdrawal occurs from 11 hours to 2 months after cessation of medications. Our study showed that among patients who had withdrawal symptoms (n = 25), 19 patients (63%) developed the withdrawal symptoms within 24 hours after cessation of medications. The symptoms of opioid and benzodiazepine withdrawal are largely overlapping and include diarrhea, vomiting, sweating, or fever. However, gastrointestinal symptoms have been more commonly described for opioid withdrawal, while hallucination is more frequently observed in benzodiazepine withdrawal. The symptoms in this study could not definitively be distinguished by which medications caused them.

Methadone, lorazepam, clonidine, or dexmedetomidine are commonly used as an adjunctive therapy for opioid or benzodiazepine withdrawal. Our study used methadone and lorazepam as primary medications for weaning therapy. Several prior studies demonstrated various opioid weaning strategies by using methadone to prevent opioid withdrawal. In some studies, the sedative agents were weaned more than 25 to 50% within 24 hours. The incidence of IWS was nearly 100% in high-risk patients. We assumed that the weaning rate might not be appropriate. The 10 to 20% tapering rate per day has been suggested to be more promising. However, neither optimal dose of transition nor conversion strategies have been established to significantly prevent the IWS. Most studies showed that 40 to 80% of patients develop IWS. Several previous studies reviewed the conversion of intravenous fentanyl to oral methadone. The proposed conversion ratio varied from 1:1 to 1:16.7. A prior retrospective study had the intravenous fentanyl to oral methadone.
Flowchart 3: Consolidated standards of reporting trials (CONSORT) diagram for the study. NPO, nothing per oral

Table 1: Baseline characteristics of children in the protocol group and those in the usual care group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Protocol group (n = 19)</th>
<th>Usual care group (n = 11)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (year)</td>
<td>1.57 (0.81–4.91)</td>
<td>1.73 (0.53–5.44)</td>
<td>0.966</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male, n (%)</td>
<td>12 (63.2)</td>
<td>5 (45.5)</td>
<td>0.346</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body weight (kg)</td>
<td>10 (7–14)</td>
<td>8.3 (5.7–15.6)</td>
<td>0.651</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comorbidities, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>4 (21.1)</td>
<td>2 (18.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiology</td>
<td>4 (21.1)</td>
<td>1 (9.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oncology</td>
<td>3 (15.8)</td>
<td>1 (9.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonology</td>
<td>2 (10.5)</td>
<td>2 (18.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>6 (31.7)</td>
<td>5 (45.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reasons for PICU admission, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postoperative</td>
<td>6 (31.6)</td>
<td>1 (18.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency condition</td>
<td>13 (68.4)</td>
<td>9 (81.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRISM III</td>
<td>4 (0–7)</td>
<td>7 (3–11)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MV days prior to weaning</td>
<td>10 (8–16.5)</td>
<td>13 (7.5–32.75)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICU days prior to weaning</td>
<td>10 (8–17)</td>
<td>11 (8–16)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High risk of IWS, n (%)</td>
<td>11 (57.9)</td>
<td>6 (54.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumulative doses prior enrolment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fentanyl (μg/kg)</td>
<td>521.9 (267.1–715.3)</td>
<td>470.0 (223.6–748.0)</td>
<td>0.880</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midazolam (mg/kg)</td>
<td>17.0 (11.1–53.3)</td>
<td>29.1 (13.3–41.5)</td>
<td>0.726</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dexmedetomidine (μg/kg)</td>
<td>48.7 (30.6–103.2)</td>
<td>129.8 (18.2–216.8)</td>
<td>0.328</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are median (IQR); PRISM III, The Pediatric Risk of Mortality 3; MV, mechanical ventilation; ICU, intensive care unit; IWS, iatrogenic withdrawal syndrome.
conversion ratio of approximately 1:2.5.23 The conclusion showed this conversion ratio was associated with less withdrawal and reduced the need for rescue opioids. However, the median WAT-1 score at 48 hours, 72 hours, and 96 hours was greater than 3 (defined as withdrawal syndrome). In addition, the initial doses of fentanyl prior to conversion to methadone was high (4 μg/kg/hour). 23 In our study, we used the conversion ratio of intravenous fentanyl to oral methadone was 1:6.5 with the dose of intravenous fentanyl at initiation of enterol methadone was 1–2 μg/kg/hours for safety concern.

In our study, we hypothesized that the patients in the protocol group would have a reduced rate of IWS compared to the usual care group because a sedative-weaning protocol has been demonstrated as a protective factor to prevent the IWS.9 However, the occurrence of IWS was not decreased with the sedative-weaning protocol. We postulate three potential reasons.

First, the majority of the patients in this study represented a very high-risk group because of the prolonged duration of exposure and high cumulative dose. Twenty-two (73%) patients received more than one sedative/opioid medications prior to weaning. Prolonged exposure to fentanyl, high cumulative dose during sedation period, and fentanyl itself are key factors contributing to the IWS.2,4,9 Most studies agree that the exposure to opioid of greater than 5 days is a potential risk factor to develop the IWS.11 Katz et al. reported that the incidence of IWS was 100% if the exposure to fentanyl by continuous infusion was more than 9 days.1

Second, the overlapping period between the initiation of oral sedations and the discontinuation of infusion are also elemental factors. Several studies have shown the prevalence of IWS ranged from 10 to 43% when the overlapping period of fentanyl infusion was 2 to 3 days.15,16,21,25 This study showed the overlapping period of fentanyl infusion was 12 hours which was a shorter period of discontinuation after methadone initiation. This might be the cause of the IWS in our patients. Therefore, a longer overlapping period might be helpful.

Last, the protocol group was weaned by 10 to 20% of previous daily dose, and only one medication per day was weaned, while the usual care group was weaned 25 to 30% of previous daily dose and one or more medications were weaned per day. Once a protocol was in place, the usual care group might also be practiced similar to protocolized weaning. Therefore, it would reduce the possibility of demonstrating any differences among the outcomes of the two groups. However, the protocol group had a lower dose of morphine solution for rescue than the usual care group (0.08 mg/kg/day and 0.37 mg/kg/day, respectively, p value = 0.005). The protocol group also had a lower total dose of morphine solution than the usual

| Table 2: Prevalence of iatrogenic withdrawal syndrome and morbidities in the two groups |
|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Occurrence of IWS, n (%)                      | Protocol group (n = 19)                        | Usual care group (n = 11)                      | p     |
| %Withdrawal days**                            | 16 (84)                                       | 9 (81)                                        | 0.865 |
| Total weaning period (days)                   | 12 (9–20)                                     | 11 (8–22)                                     | 1     |
| Duration of initial phase                     | 1 (1–3)                                       | 3 (2–4)                                       | 0.026*|
| Duration of tapering phase                   | 10 (8–16)                                     | 8 (6–16)                                      | 0.605 |
| Methadone                                     |                                               |                                               |       |
| Average dose (mg/kg/day)                      | 0.69 (0.45–0.85)                               | 0.44 (0.27–1.36)                              | 0.666 |
| Cumulative dose (mg/kg)                       | 6.44 (4.10–10.43)                              | 4.23 (1.44–20.48)                             | 0.565 |
| Duration (days)                               | 10 (9–18)                                     | 10 (6–19)                                     | 0.940 |
| Lorazepam                                     |                                               |                                               |       |
| Average dose (mg/kg/day)                      | 0.17 (0.13–0.23)                               | 0.22 (0.17–0.35)                              | 0.222 |
| Cumulative dose (mg/kg)                       | 1.56 (1.08–3.55)                               | 2.30 (1.73–3.82)                              | 0.264 |
| Duration (days)                               | 9 (7–17)                                      | 10 (8–18)                                     | 0.707 |
| ICU stay (days)                               | 15 (13–34)                                    | 29 (18–43)                                    | 0.031*|
| Mechanical ventilation (days)                 | 12 (9–16)                                     | 13.5 (11.5–34.5)                              | 0.237 |
| Adverse drug reactions, n (%)                 | 0 (0)                                         | 1 (9.1)                                       | 0.181 |
| Values are median (IQR), IWS, iatrogenic withdrawal syndrome; ICU, intensive care unit |
* p < 0.05 **%withdrawal day = [withdrawal symptoms(days)/total weaning (days)] x 100%

Fig. 1: The dosages of morphine solution during weaning period between the intervention and control group. *p value < 0.005
care group (0.16 mg/kg/day and 1.47 mg/kg/day, respectively, p value = 0.002). Also, the percentage of withdrawal days was significantly less in the protocol group when compared to the usual care group, although IWS incidence was similar. This probably points toward the benefits of protocolized weaning. In addition, this study showed the protocol group had lower the length of ICU stay than the usual group which it might reduce the cost of ICU stay. Therefore, we assumed that a risk-stratified weaning protocol and a 10 to 20% daily taper is more appropriate.

The strength of this study is the establishment of a weaning protocol that improved the outcomes in the protocol group in high-risk critically ill children. In addition, this sedative-weaning protocol may be applied in other hospitals that do not have pediatric intensivist or clinical pharmacist. Our institute continues to use this sedative-weaning protocol in our PICU and will distribute to other hospitals for validation.

This study has some limitations. First, as this study was a pilot RCT, it was limited to a single center with a relatively small population. Based on the calculated sample size, the recruited patients in this study could not be reached because of the limitation of duration. This lack of sample size may decrease the power of the pilot RCT. It is important to interpret the findings of this pilot study with caution. Therefore, the extended duration of the study with the multicenter collaboration is needed to validate the weaning protocol. Second, this study was unblinded. All physicians known the WAT-1 scores from the bedside nurses. It might be bias. We minimized this potential bias using these solutions. Bedside nurses used the WAT-1 strictly as the guidance of this assessment tool. The staffs manipulated weaning process by their own preference in the usual care group, while the residents and fellows strictly followed the sedative-weaning protocol in the protocol group. Third, the process of weaning in the usual care group might be influenced by bias from the weaning protocol (similar to the Hawthorne effect). However, we reduced this bias by assigning the residents and fellows to strictly follow the weaning protocol, while the attending staff personally weaned the sedative drugs in the usual care group. The results showed that the daily dose of weaning between the two groups was different.

**Conclusion**

We successfully implemented the sedative-weaning protocol for critically ill children in the PICU of a university hospital. The protocol led to a significant reduction in the percentage of withdrawal days, rescue medications, and length of ICU stay without any adverse drug reactions. External validation would be needed to validate this protocol.
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