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ABSTRACT

Introduction and objective: Pregnancy-related acute kidney injury (PRAKI) is one of the most important cause of maternal and fetal morbidity and mortality. Some of the reasons behind PRAKI may be due to sepsis, postpartum hemorrhage, preeclampsia, thrombotic microangiopathies (TMA), and acute fatty liver of pregnancy (AFLP). The timing of initiation of renal replacement therapy (RRT) for better patient outcome is still debatable. We conducted this study to establish whether earlier initiation of RRT in PRAKI improves fetomaternal survival compared to a more conservative approach.

Materials and methods: This is a prospective study, where patients were screened at a multispeciality tertiary care hospital in north India for 1 year. The patients were divided into two groups: early RRT and standard AKI treatment. The demographic profile and clinical characteristics of the patients in terms of age, parity access to antenatal care, fetal outcome, urine output, hematological and biochemical profiles, RRT, duration of hospitalization, recovery of renal function, and patients survival were recorded.

Results: This prospective study conducted included 13 patients in the early RRT group and 23 patients in the standard group. Considering the fetal outcome, the number of fetal deaths in early RRT group were 14.29%, whereas for the standard group it was 85.71%. In all, 75% of early RRT group and 25% of standard group had normal term delivery. Probability test applied showed they were statistically significant. One maternal mortality was there in the standard group. The indices of maternal outcome in PRAKI patients were found to be statistically insignificant.

Conclusion: The planning of RRT whether early or late does not make a difference in maternal mortality or morbidity. The fetal outcome is significantly better for patients with early RRT than conservative treatment in PRAKI.
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INTRODUCTION

Pregnancy-related acute kidney injury (PRAKI) has never been standardized and can be defined as a broad range from increase in creatinine or blood urea nitrogen (BUN) to decrease in urine output to need of dialysis. It occurs mainly because of the decrease in renal perfusion or ischemic acute tubular necrosis (ATN) from a variety of physiological disturbances or pathological conditions.¹

It is one of the most important cause of maternal and fetal morbidity and mortality. An Italian study reported that in the developed world, the incidence of acute kidney injury (AKI) in pregnancy reduced from 1:3,000 to 1:18,000 births from the years 1956–1967 to 1988–1994.² In India, pregnancy-associated AKI requiring dialysis was 15% in 1982–1991, 10% from 1992–2002, and has not improved much since then.³

However, contrary to the abovementioned data, a recent report from Canada revealed an increasing incidence of PRAKI from 1.66 per 10,000 deliveries between 2003–2004, to 2.68 per 10,000 deliveries between 2009 to 2010.⁴⁵ PRAKI still comprises 25% of referrals to dialysis centers in developing countries.⁶

The common reasons behind PRAKI may be due to sepsis, hyperemesis gravidarum, postpartum hemorrhage, and renal cortical necrosis (RCN). Some other pregnancy-related conditions, such as preeclampsia, thrombotic microangiopathies (TMA), and acute fatty liver of pregnancy (AFLP), can cause kidney injury.¹ Septic abortion is the most important cause of PRAKI in developing countries.⁷ Puerperal sepsis and postpartum hemorrhage are the leading causes of acute tubular necrosis (ATN) in the postpartum period. The patients with ATN resulting in renal cortical necrosis are more prone to go for dialysis dependency.⁸⁹ Nearly 50–170% of AKI are associated with physiological complications of pregnancy of which preeclampsia/eclampsia is the most common cause.¹⁰

Newer standardized methods of classification of AKI using the RIFLE and AKIN criteria may have led to better diagnosing...
and categorizing PRAKI, with seemingly higher incidence getting tabulated. But reports that describe the burden of PR AKI (PRAKI) is more insufficient because of small sample size, highly selected populations, and use of definitions for AKI that has never been validated in pregnancy. The absolute number of cases of AKI during pregnancy is still significantly lower in developed countries than in developing countries.\textsuperscript{11}

The timing of initiation of renal replacement therapy (RRT) for better patient outcome is still debatable with conflicting data from different randomized controlled trials.\textsuperscript{12–15} Although the need to initiate RRT is absolute in patients with life-threatening AKI and complications that are refractory to medical measures, the advantages of commencing RRT in the absence of such complications and starting them early is still debatable.\textsuperscript{16,17}

Earlier initiation of RRT may have benefits such as accelerated achievement of euvoeemia, removal of toxic solutes, prevention of metabolic disarrangement, and overt complications attributable to AKI. On the other hand, spontaneous recovery of kidney function may occur in selected patients with severe AKI. So earlier initiation in these patients unnecessarily exposes them to the potential harms of vascular access (for example, hemorrhage, thrombosis, and bacteraemia) and the complications of RRT (hypotension, hypersensitivity to the extracorporeal circuit, clearance of trace elements, and antibiotics) along with added resource utilization.\textsuperscript{16,17}

However, a recent meta-analysis concluded that early initiation of RRT in AKI does not improve patient survival or intensive care unit (ICU) or hospital length of stay (LOS) when compared to standardized initiation of RRT.\textsuperscript{18}

We conducted this current study to establish whether earlier initiation of RRT in the setting of severe AKI in pregnancy improves fetomaternal survival compared to a more conservative approach of waiting and supportive care in which RRT is initiated only when classic indications arises.

**Materials and Methods**

This is a prospective study in which patients were screened at a multispecialty tertiary-care hospital in north India. Data of all the cases of PRAKI referred to ICU department in 1 year were considered. Patients were defined for AKI per RIFLE criteria. The demographic profile and clinical characteristics of the patients in terms of age, parity access to antenatal care, fetal outcome, urine output, hematological and biochemical profiles, rRRT, duration of hospitalization, recovery of renal function, and patients survival were recorded.

The patients were divided into two groups:

- Early RRT (RRT done in <24 hours)
- Standard AKI treatment with possibility of late RRT if required

**Inclusion Criteria for Patients for RRT**

- Adults >18 years age
- Presence of severe AKI defined by the presence of two of the following three criteria:
  - A twofold increase in serum creatinine from baseline;
  - Urine output <0.6 mL/kg in the preceding 12 hours; or
  - Urea > twofold from the baseline

**Exclusion Criteria**

- Lack of commitment to ongoing life support, including RRT;
- Presence of an intoxication requiring extracorporeal removal;
- RRT within the previous 2 months;
- Clinical suspicion of renal obstruction, rapidly progressive glomerulonephritis, or interstitial nephritis;
- Prehospitalization estimated glomerular filtration rate of 30 mL/minute per 1.73 m\textsuperscript{2}
- The passage of 48 hours since doubling of baseline serum creatinine.
- Pregnancy in renal transplant recipients, patients with previous history of renal diseases, diabetes mellitus, or hypertension prior to pregnancy, and those with small or unequal kidneys on ultrasound or any evidences of chronic kidney diseases were excluded from the study.
- Pregnancy with history of septic abortion causing acute kidney injury.

If all of the inclusion criteria were met and no exclusion criteria were present, we considered the participant to be provisionally eligible.
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In the standard RRT initiation group, we considered including patients in whom conservative therapy for AKI was considered or RRT was not started within the first 24 hours. Patients were also considered in this group who had an initial 24-hour window period of dialysis from eligibility elapsed. The reason of starting RRT for them has been noted.

The patients were decided for the treatment/dialysis by the concerned nephrologist after consulting the primary physician (obstetrician). A protocolized approach was considered and data was plotted in the master table.

The data were collected by the concerned doctor on duty who is also blinded of the study.

The fetal outcome of pregnancy was noted in terms of preterm, full-term alive, or dead fetus. Maternal outcome is noted in terms of number of maternal death in each group along with length of stay in ICU (LOS), alive with improved kidney function test (KFT), or dialysis dependency after 92 days of follow-up. The reason of starting RRT has been noted.

The renal recovery was analyzed in terms of achievement of normal renal function at the time of discharge, dialysis dependent, and dialysis independent state of the patients. The study was approved by the ethics committee of the institute.

Statistical Analysis
All the data were analyzed with statistical tool “MedCalc”. Data will be presented as mean with standard deviation or proportions as appropriate. Mean, median, standard deviation, and variance were calculated, and the following statistical significance tests were applied. Student’s unpaired t test was used as the statistical tool to test for significance of observed mean differences. Chi-square test was used for statistical significance test and test of significance for difference of proportions. Finally, the calculated value was compared to the tabulated value at particular degree of freedom and finds the level of significance. A “p value” should be considered to be nonsignificant if >0.05 and significant if <0.05.

Results
This prospective study conducted included 13 patients in the early RRT group and 23 patients in the standard group. The whole study was done within a time period of 1 year. Percentage of patients in early group were 36.11% and standard group was 63.89%. The distribution was statistically significant (Table 1). The baseline clinical characteristics were then tabulated in Tables 2 to 4. It consisted demographic (age, weight), clinical (systolic and diastolic blood pressure, and urine output), and lab parameters (platelet, potassium, calcium, hemoglobin, creatinine, and urea levels).

Demographic Parameters (Table 2)
Considering the other clinical variables, the age and the weight between the two groups were statistically nonsignificant. The mean ± SD of age and weight were 27 ± 3.42 and 53.45 ± 5.82 for early RRT group and 28.96 ± 4.19 and 52.64 ± 5.01 for standard group, respectively.

Clinical Parameters (Table 3)
The systolic blood pressure (SBP) and diastolic blood pressure (DBP) for early RRT and standard group were 130.48 ± 16.65 and 85.13 ± 10.42 and 107.38 ± 27.45 and 63.83 ± 15.82, respectively. Initial recordings systolic blood pressure and diastolic blood pressure for both the groups were clinically significant with p value <0.05. The urine output was also statistically significant.
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Table 3: Clinical parameters of patients during pregnancy associated with AKI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Early group (n = 13)</th>
<th>Standard group (n = 23)</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>p value</th>
<th>Mean ± SD</th>
<th>Mean ± SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SEP (mm Hg)</td>
<td>130.48 ± 16.65</td>
<td>107.38 ± 27.45</td>
<td>3.15</td>
<td>0.0034</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBP (mm Hg)</td>
<td>85.13 ± 10.42</td>
<td>63.53 ± 15.82</td>
<td>4.875</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urine output (mL/day)</td>
<td>25.75 ± 50.30</td>
<td>130.39 ± 189.40</td>
<td>2.870</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Lab parameters of patients during pregnancy associated with AKI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Early group (n = 13)</th>
<th>Standard group (n = 23)</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>p value</th>
<th>Mean ± SD</th>
<th>Mean ± SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Platelet count (lakh/µL)</td>
<td>155.56 ± 110.17</td>
<td>175.78 ± 125.45</td>
<td>0.434</td>
<td>0.6312</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum potassium (mEq/L)</td>
<td>4.76 ± 1.08</td>
<td>4.32 ± 1.17</td>
<td>1.113</td>
<td>0.2734</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum calcium (mg/dL)</td>
<td>7.85 ± 1.01</td>
<td>8.18 ± 1.04</td>
<td>0.340</td>
<td>0.4069</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemoglobin (g/dL)</td>
<td>8.68 ± 2.39</td>
<td>9.86 ± 1.76</td>
<td>1.523</td>
<td>0.1357</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urea (mmol/L)</td>
<td>104.5 ± 11.13</td>
<td>82.5 ± 9.2</td>
<td>6.35</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum Creatinine (µmol/L)</td>
<td>4.04 ± 1.93</td>
<td>2.9 ± 1.19</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>0.0347</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5: Etiologies of pregnancy associated AKI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Causes</th>
<th>No. of patients</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AFLP</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dengue</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eclampsia</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>36.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPLLPS syndrome</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperemesis gravidum</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malaria</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemorrhage</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sepsis</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>13.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snake bite</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viral sepsis</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Lab parameters (Table 4)
The mean ± SD of early RRT group of hemoglobin was 8.68 ± 2.39 and standard was 9.86 ± 1.76. Creatinine was 4.04 ± 1.93 for early RRT group and 2.9 ± 1.19 for standard group. When proportion test applied, they were found to be statistically significant. The other lab parameters such as platelet count, potassium, and calcium were comparable between the two groups.

The etiology of AKI in pregnant patient is categorized in Table 5. Preeclampsia consisted of the maximum number of patients with a total of 36.11%. Patient with sepsis and malaria consisted of a total of nine patients. Some uncommon causes were acute fatty liver of pregnancy and snake bite.

The relative risk of mortality of patients with regard to different symptoms of PRAKI has been documented in Table 6.

Clinical Outcome: (Table 7 and 8, Figs 1 and 2)
Considering the fetal outcome, the number of fetal deaths in early RRT group were 14.29%, whereas for the standard group it was 85.71%. In all, 75% of early RRT group and 25% of standard group had normal term delivery. Probability test applied showed they were significant statistically.

In regard to maternal outcome, one maternal mortality was observed in the standard group. The length of ICU stay was 9 days in early RRT and 12 days in standard group. The alive and improved KFT and alive and dialysis-dependent percentages were found to be statistically insignificant.

The relative risk of different symptoms in patients with AKI is documented in Table 6. Almost 63.23% of the patients in the early RRT group were septic, whereas only 13.04% were septic in the standard group. The relative risk of sepsis is 5.607, with a probability value <0.05. The other symptom that is statistically significant when compared between the two groups was hemorrhagic complication: 60.87% were in standard group and 15.38% in the early RRT group. Patients having other symptoms like oliguria, encephalopathy, hepatic dysfunction, low platelet, pulmonary edema, acidosis, high creatinine, urea, and hyperkalemia were statistically insignificant.

Discussion
The most important concern about pregnancy is the body’s dramatic changes caused by gestation and the treatment of two patients simultaneously, with only one directly accessible, the mother. Renal failure in pregnancy has particular challenges because it occurs in a system physiologically altered from baseline and also disease processes superimposed that are specific to pregnancy whose pathophysiology are sometimes incompletely understood with the overlapping symptoms. Pregnancy induces an 80% increase in renal plasma flow leading to a 50% rise in glomerular filtration rate (GFR). Changes occur early and persist until term. Creatinine clearance is 30% higher than in non-pregnant women, resulting in a serum creatinine decrease from nonpregnant values by 20–30%.

In this study, the nephrologist, the primary physician, and the data collector are blinded of the study. We see 36.11% of PRAKI patients had undergone early RRT, whereas 63.89% patients underwent conservative therapy. Of the 13 patients in early RRT group, 11 (84.62%) patients were dialyzed because of high creatinine/urea level, whereas the remaining two (15.38%) patients were dialyzed because of oliguria/anuria/hyperkalemia/failure in pregnancy has particular challenges because it occurs in a system physiologically altered from baseline and also disease processes superimposed that are specific to pregnancy whose pathophysiology are sometimes incompletely understood with the overlapping symptoms. Pregnancy induces an 80% increase in renal plasma flow leading to a 50% rise in glomerular filtration rate (GFR). Changes occur early and persist until term. Creatinine clearance is 30% higher than in non-pregnant women, resulting in a serum creatinine decrease from nonpregnant values by 20–30%.
Comparison of Standard Conservative Treatment and Early Initiation of Renal Replacement Therapy in PRAKI

The difference in the demographics can be attributed to the difference in decision-making by the nephrologist and the primary physician (obstetrician). It has been observed that PRAKI with sepsis was more taken for early RRT (69.23%), whereas PRAKI with hemorrhage was less frequently (15.38%) planned. Same is for PRAKI with preeclampsia features, where most of them underwent standard treatment of renal failure (23.07%). The p value calculated to be statistically significant.

This difference in categorization as observed in our study might due to the thinking that the importance of RRT in a sepsis patient is more than other pregnancy-related pathology. Moreover, hemorrhage or preeclampsia with PRAKI patients are more subjected to the treatment by the obstetrician as primary physician who were more reluctant for dialysis than PRAKI patients with sepsis who were much more often under control of nephrologists. PRAKI patients with other symptoms like oliguria, pulmonary edema, uremic encephalopathy, hepatic dysfunction, and thrombocytopenia were comparable between the early RRT and standard AKI treatment. Hepatic dysfunction and thrombocytopenia were not associated with increased risk of early dialysis in our study, while these factors have shown to be associated with maternal mortality in some other studies.22,23

The most common causes of PRAKI in the early trimester is prerenal because of hyperemesis gravidarum and septic abortion causing sepsis.1 During the late trimester, most causes of PRAKI involve hypertensive diseases in pregnancy, (thrombotic microangiopathies) TMA, (acute fatty liver of pregnancy) AFLP, and postpartum hemorrhage.1

Table 6: Risk of symptoms of patients with pregnancy-associated AKI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symptoms</th>
<th>Early group (n = 13)</th>
<th>Standard group (n = 23)</th>
<th>RR</th>
<th>Z value</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oliguria (D = 32)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.8042</td>
<td>1.377</td>
<td>0.5397–1.0967</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sepsis (D = 12)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5.307</td>
<td>2.932</td>
<td>1.7392–16.1979</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CXS (n = 6)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.7692</td>
<td>0.772</td>
<td>0.4156–7.5321</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepatic dysfunction (n = 13)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.3217</td>
<td>1.654</td>
<td>0.0838–1.234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low platelet (n = 15)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.4423</td>
<td>1.499</td>
<td>0.1522–1.2854</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary edema (n = 16)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.7692</td>
<td>1.585</td>
<td>0.8737–3.5828</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acidosis (n = 4)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.7692</td>
<td>0.608</td>
<td>0.2815–11.120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High creatine urea (n = 31)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.9267</td>
<td>0.565</td>
<td>0.7118–1.2066</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemorrhage (n = 16)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.2527</td>
<td>2.048</td>
<td>0.0677–0.9427</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperkalemia (D = 4)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.870</td>
<td>1.7692</td>
<td>0.608</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension (n = 16)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.3725</td>
<td>2.314</td>
<td>0.1613–0.8599</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7: Fetal outcome

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fetal outcome</th>
<th>Early group</th>
<th>Standard group</th>
<th>Z value</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dead (n = 14)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>33.925</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preterm (n = 18)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.501</td>
<td>0.4790</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal delivery (n = 4)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>16.056</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 8: Maternal outcome

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mother outcome</th>
<th>Early group</th>
<th>Standard group</th>
<th>Z value</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dead</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.35</td>
<td>0.0857</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of ICU stay</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.416</td>
<td>0.5187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alive and improved KFT</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.273</td>
<td>0.6014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alive and dialysis dependent</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.273</td>
<td>0.6014</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Hyperkalemia (20%). Wald et al. in his study demonstrated patients going for RRT among conservative standard group is 63%.16

The most common causes of PRAKI in the early trimester is prerenal because of hyperemesis gravidarum and septic abortion causing sepsis.1 During the late trimester, most causes of PRAKI involve hypertensive diseases in pregnancy, (thrombotic microangiopathies) TMA, (acute fatty liver of pregnancy) AFLP, and postpartum hemorrhage.1

Pregnancy-related hypertensive disorder remains the leading causes of PRAKI in developed countries, and the decline in PRAKI recently is mainly because of significant decrease in sepsis.2,24,25 A study from Casablanca reported that preeclampsia and eclampsia accounts for 74.5% cases, sepsis in 11%, and hemorrhage for 7.2% PRAKI cases in developed countries.24

In our study, hypertensive disorder consists of 36.11%, 22.22% having sepsis that includes both viral and bacterial. A good number of PRAKI patients were also diagnosed with malaria and other tropical fever. They can also be collectively considered under septic patients if not differentiated otherwise. Maternal hemorrhage consist 11.11%. All the septic patients that we encountered in our study were systemic infection rather than abortal septicemia as that was an exclusion criteria. AFLP in two (5.56%) patients. One patient had a snake bite with acute renal failure and also had intrauterine death. These differences in different studies mainly depend on the demographics of the place where cases were studied.
In PRAKI patients, fetal outcome is consistently poor, with high prematurity, polyhydramnious, and fetal growth retardation. Less than 50% of pregnancies result in a surviving infant, suggesting a deleterious fetal effect of an azotemic intrauterine environment.

A fetal death of only 5.5% has been reported in case of PRAKI in a study from a developed country. The fetal mortality of 38.88% was observed in our study, much higher than reported in pregnant women admitted in ICU with renal failure. Considering the clinical outcome, we find in our study that the number of fetal deaths is significantly more in the standard acute renal failure treatment than the early RRT group. The number of premature, preterm birth is nearly equal, with the patients who were given early RRT support had more number of normal deliveries. The result is also statistically significant.

The literature on techniques to improve fetal outcome is less, but existing evidence suggests that successful pregnancies and improved fetal outcomes require intensified dialysis regimens. Majority of the studies are confined to chronic renal failure. A U.S. survey of 344 pregnancies showed a non-significant trend toward improved gestational age and infant survival with dialysis >20 hour/week. Bagon and colleagues found similar findings in their national survey of dialysis centers in Belgium in 1998. In an attempt to minimize the effects of uremia on fetus, they adjusted dialysis regimens with the goal to attain maximum predialysis urea levels of 100 mg/dL. A positive correlation was noted between birth weight and excess dialysis hours (the number of hours of dialysis delivered minus the hours that would have been given if the patient were not pregnant).

These two publications have influenced the prescription of dialysis for PRAKI patients internationally, with the recommendation that an early and increased dialysis dose should be standard of care for pregnant women. There have been case reports since then of excellent perinatal outcome with intensified dialysis. The intensive daily dialysis achieves a physiological state close to the normal pregnant state as possible, thereby providing a theoretical reason to justify its use.

A study from a developed country reported maternal mortality of 9.1% in case of PRAKI, which is almost half of that reported from India. In our study while comparing the maternal outcome in regard to the maternal mortality, length of stay in ICU, dialysis dependency, and recovery of renal function after 92 days all seem to be comparable in-between the two groups. There is no benefit to start and initiate RRT early, which can even be termed as an unnecessary RRT if we consider the maternal health outcome solely. A recent met analysis also concluded similar result but that is for non-pregnant kidney failure patients.

**Conclusion**

- The outcome of pregnant patients with conservative treatment for PRAKI is comparable to that of patients with early RRT. (alive, dialysis dependent and independent, LOS, death). There exists no difference. So the planning of RRT whether early or late does not make a difference in maternal mortality or morbidity.
- The fetal outcome is significantly better for patients with early RRT than conservative treatment in PRAKI patients.
- We have taken only a small sample of 36 patients. More studies are required to proof the facts as concluded in our study.
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