Early Prediction of 3-month Survival of Patients in Refractory Cardiogenic Shock and Cardiac Arrest on Extracorporeal Life Support
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Abstract

Background: Extracorporeal life support (ECLS) holds the promise of significant improvement of the survival of patient in refractory cardiogenic shock (CS) or cardiac arrest (CA). Nevertheless, it remains to be shown to which extent these highly invasive supportive techniques could improve long-term patient’s outcome. Methods: The outcomes of 82 adult ECLS patients at our institution between January 2012 and December 2013 were retrospectively analyzed. Results: Patients were essentially men (64.7%) and are 54 years old. Preexisting ischemic (53.7%) and dilated cardiomyopathy (14.6%) were frequent. ECLS indications were shared equally between CA and CS. ECLS-specific adverse effects as hemorrhage (30%) and infection (50%) were frequent. ECLS was effective for 43 patients (54%) with recovery for 35 (43%), 5 (6%) heart transplant, and 3 (4%) left ventricular assist device support. Mortality rate at 30 days was 59.8%, but long-term and 3-month survival rates were similar of 31.7%. Initial plasma lactate levels >5.3 mmol/L and glomerular filtration rate <43 ml/min/1.73 m² were significantly associated with 3-month mortality (risk ratio [RR] 2.58 [1.21–5.48]; P = 0.014; RR 2.10 [1.1–4]; P = 0.024, respectively). Long-term follow-up had shown patients paucisymptomatic (64% New York Heart Association 1–2) and autonomic (activities of daily living [ADL] score 6 ± 1.5). Conclusion: In case of refractory CA or CS, lactates and renal function at ECLS initiation could serve as outcome predictor for risk stratification and ECLS indication.
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INTRODUCTION

Despite advances in intensive care medicine, the development of new pharmacological agents and early revascularization in case of ischemic etiology and treatment of cardiogenic shock (CS) and cardiac arrest (CA) remains difficult.[1,2] They remain the leading cause of death in hospitalized patients regardless of etiology, and mortality at 30 days or 1 year is high of 50% for CS,[3] or more than 80% in terms of CA.[4] In these cases, inotropes and vasopressors could be useful but have been plagued by serious concerns regarding increased morbidity and mortality.[5] That’s why, different hemodynamic supports have been used since the 1950s, but results are few, inconclusive, or negative for some. The International guidelines are only of limited use because of their lack of clear positioning and it exists only expert consensus and registries as reference.[6–9] Empirically, extracorporeal life support (ECLS) assumes an ever increasingly important place in the management of these patients. However, patient’s selection is difficult even though ECLS requires considerable financial and human resources and should be allocated to patients in an appropriate and resource efficient manner. In this context, identification of factors associated with survival is required to optimize indications and nonindications. The objective of this study was to describe outcomes of patients which benefit to ECLS for refractory CA or CS in our tertiary teaching hospital. In parallel, we needed to identify...
the predictor of survival at 3 months and assessed long-term clinical condition.

Methods
Patients
We retrospectively analyzed data of 82 adult patients who had received ECLS in our university hospital for refractory CA or CS between January 2012 and December 2013.

Indications and timing for ECLS were determined by practitioners (intensivists, cardiologists, and cardiac surgeons) in charge of the patient.

ECLS contraindications were standard, including recent cerebral hemorrhage and other contraindications to anticoagulation, patients older than 75 years old, high comorbidities with chronic organ dysfunction (e.g., cirrhosis, emphysema, and respiratory failure) or advanced disease with a life expectancy of <5 years (e.g., terminal malignancy), and patients who had previously signed “do-not-resuscitate” orders.

All patients included for refractory CS displayed the following signs: signs and symptoms of organ hypoperfusion (cool peripheries, oliguria, confusion, etc.) and at least one of the following parameters: systolic blood pressure ≤90 mmHg and/or cardiac index <2.2 L/min/m² for at least 30 min, or necessity of vasopressor to maintain systolic blood pressure >90 mmHg, and evidence of elevated filling pressures (pulmonary congestion on examination or chest X-ray).

Patients included for CA were witnessed to have suffered an arrest of presumed cardiac origin, who subsequently received cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) for longer than 15–20 min. CA could be in-hospital or out-of-hospital CA.

Extracorporeal life support management
ECLS system consisted mainly of a heparin-bound centrifugal pump and a hollow-fiber microporous membrane oxygenator. Cannulations were predominantly femorofemoral (15–19 Fr arterial and 23–29 Fr venous cannula) performed using modified Seldinger surgical technique with an additional 7-Fr catheter inserted for distal limb perfusion. Venous cannula position was confirmed by echocardiography and Chest X-ray.

Extracorporeal blood flow was adjusted to maintain adequate systemic blood flow and oxygen supply as monitored by mean arterial pressure, SvO₂, urine output, and lactate concentration. The pump flow was initially set at approximately 2–3 L/min. Fluid and vasopressors were secondly infused to maintain a mean arterial blood pressure of ≥65 mmHg and flow rate of 3.5–4 L/min to preserve organ function. Dobutamine was systematically added to facilitate ventricular decompression in order to minimize the risk of acute pulmonary edema and intraventricular clotting. Intra-aortic balloon pump (IABP) was utilized at the discretion of the physician in charge of the patient. Heparin was infused to maintain an activated clotting time between 180 and 220 s in the absence of hemorrhage.

All patients were initially sedated and mechanically ventilated with standard protective ventilation (tidal volume 6–8 ml/kg and positive end-expiratory pressure 8–10 cm H₂O). Mild hypothermia (33–34°C) was initiated in cases of CA.

Serial biological assessments and echocardiographic evaluation were performed to assess organ dysfunction and myocardial function recovery.

When a pulsatile arterial waveform appeared and was associated with hemodynamic stability without multiorgan dysfunction for at least 24 h, an ECLS weaning trial was undertaken by progressively decreasing pump flow to 1.5–2 L/min. Then, when left ventricular ejection fraction was ≥30%, aortic time velocity integral was ≥10 cm, and the patient’s hemodynamic status had remained stable for 2–4 h; the machine was removed with surgical repair of the femoral or axillar access. Patients were considered weaned if they were living at 24 h after withdrawal of the assistance. When ECLS weaning was impossible, bridging to a ventricular assist device or transplantation was considered.

Data collection
Detailed pre-ECLS data including age, gender, coronary risk factors, prior heart disease, etiology of CA or CS, initial biological data, and Simplified Acute Physiology Score (SAPS) II at the time of ECLS initiation were retrospectively collected.

In-hospital ECLS-associated complications were noted, such as acute limb ischemia, infection, hemorrhage, pulmonary edema, acute renal injury requiring dialysis, and ECLS dysfunction.

The main outcome variable was 3-month survival, but we also analyzed 30-day survival rates and long-term survival. Other outcome measures included duration of Intensive Care Unit (ICU) stay and total hospitalization.

To assess long-term clinical condition, patients still living in March 2014 were contacted by telephone and evaluated according to the activities of daily living (ADL) and the New York Heart Association (NYHA) dyspnea scores.

Ethics
The study protocol was approved by our Institutional Ethics and Research Committee (n 2013–2014). Since the demographic, physiology, and in-hospital outcome data analyses are used routinely and did not modify existing diagnostic or therapeutic strategies, the need for informed consent was waived. However, consent for long-term survivors was obtained for NYHA and ADL assessment.

Statistical analyses
After an initial collection of descriptive statistics, the study population was divided into 2 groups using survival
at 3 months. Patient characteristics were compared using parametric or nonparametric tests depending on the normal distribution of the values (Kolmogorov–Smirnov test). Data were presented as a median and 95% confidence interval or ratio. This univariate analysis step was used to identify the variables which were statistically different in the two groups. Kaplan–Meier curve was also constructed at this univariate analysis phase to estimate mortality at 3 months. The discriminant value of survival at 3 months for each of the covariates of interest was assessed by measuring the area under the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves. The areas under the ROC curves were compared with the Wilcoxon signed-rank test. The best thresholds with their corresponding likelihood ratios (negative and positive) were calculated using Youden’s index. For each covariate, the “gray zone” was determined using a two-step procedure as described by Cannesson et al.\(^\text{[13]}\)

To identify risk factors independently associated with 3-month mortality, multivariable Cox proportional-hazard model was used. In this approach, if collinearity is present, the less influential variable is eliminated. A backward elimination was used to do this: its principle is to include all selected variables and to progressively remove insignificant ones. The internal validation of the model has been executed using a resampling Monte Carlo technique (Bootstrap).

The statistical analysis was performed using MedCalc\(^\text{®}\) Version 15 statistical software (Mariakerke, Belgium) except for bootstrapping which was performed on SPSS 20.0 (IBM Corp. Released 2011; IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 20.0, Armonk, NY, USA). \(P < 0.05\) was considered statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

**Patients**

During this 2-year period, 82 adult patients benefited from ECLS in our institution. Baseline characteristics of our population are described in Table 1. Patients were essentially male with a median age of 54 years. Cardiovascular risk factors were frequent and classic in more than one-third of the patients.

Eighteen (22%) patients had no prior heart disease, 44 (53.7%) had ischemic cardiomyopathy, 12 (14.6%) dilated cardiomyopathy, and 9 (11.0%) others cardiomyopathies without any difference between survivors and nonsurvivors at 3 months.

ECLS indications were distributed equally between CA and CS \((n = 43; 52.4\%)\).

Etiologies for CS and CA were divided between end-stage cardiac failure, acute cardiac failure, and postcardiotomy shock, of which 6 were postcardiac transplant. Acute coronary syndrome was frequent \((n = 29; 35.4\%)\). Others etiologies were less frequent: 9 electrical storms, 4 poisoning, 3 pulmonary embolisms, 2 acute respiratory distress syndromes, 1 hypothermia, and 1 electrocution.

For CA patients, 27 (69.2%) ECLS were initiated under continuous CPR.

Femorofemoral cannulation was used for 77 patients (94%) while femoro-axillar cannulation was used for 5 patients (6%). At the time of ECLS initiation, 12 (15%) patients were under IABP and 1 was under Impella 5.0\(^\text{®}\).

SAPS II at admission \((59 [52–65])\) was high, reflecting disease severity (SAPS II at 30-day predicted mortality higher than 65%). Elevated blood lactate, bilirubin and troponin, and reduced serum bicarbonates, prothrombin activity, and estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) by chronic kidney disease-epidemiology collaboration equation, also reflected severe multiorgan failure at ECLS onset.

**Extracorporeal life support complications**

ECLS complications are described in Table 2. ECLS support was complicated by acute limb ischemia in 12% of patients: half required surgical treatment and half required removal of the ECLS system. Hemorrhage was found in one-third of the patients with diffuse bleeding for 15 (18.3%) and bleeding on the cannula site for 9 (11.0%). However, in the end, only 4 patients (4.9%) required surgical repair. Four patients were diagnosed with stroke (4.9%).

Infections were frequent concerning more than 90% of survivors, especially of pulmonary origin and septicemia.

Of 11 patients (13.4%) with pulmonary edema, 10 (12.2%) required a discharge of the left ventricle with atrial septostomy for 7 patients (8.5%), IABP for 2 (2.4%), Impella 5.0\(^\text{®}\) for 1 (1.2%), and femoro-axillar conversion for 1 (1.2%). We identified 3 (3.7%) machine dysfunctions by clotting of the oxygenator without consequences for the patient.

**In-hospital outcomes**

One-quarter of the deaths \((n = 14)\) occurred on the day of ECLS initiation, 7 on the 1st day, and 4 on the 2nd day. Early deaths during the first 48 h represented 44.6% of total deaths and were mainly due to refractory multiorgan failure and 80% \((n = 20)\) concerned the CA population \((P < 0.001)\). In total, 60.7% \((n = 34)\) of deaths occur before day eight.

Thirty-five patients (42.7%) were successfully weaned from ECLS after heart recovery, but only 29 (35.4%) were discharged alive from the ICU.

**Thirty-day outcome**

Mortality at 30-day was high 59.8% \((n = 49)\) with a significant statistical difference between CA population \((76.9%, n = 30)\) and CS population \((53.5%, n = 23/P = 0.025)\), but we did not find any difference between in-hospital and out-of-hospital CA, or if ECLS was implanted under continuous CPR.

**Three-month outcome**

CA was associated with the highest mortality at 3 months (79.5%), especially if ECLS was performed during external chest compressions (81.5%) but without significance \((P = 0.057)\).
At 3 months, 26 (31.7%) patients were alive [Figure 1] with 4 transplanted, and 3 under left ventricular assist device (LVAD).

Factors associated with 3-month mortality

Survivors were younger, had better renal function, and presented with fewer signs of shock at ECLS onset as demonstrated by lower SAPS II score and lactate levels, lower alanin amino transferase (ALAT), and higher serum bicarbonate.

As shown in Figure 2, discriminative value of significant variables was moderate. Blood lactate concentration-related ROC curves
revealed an area under the curve (AUC) at 0.66 (0.54–0.77) with 76% sensibility, 56% specificity, and a threshold value higher than 5.3 mmol/L (gray zone: 2.5–18.0). For eGFR, AUC was at 0.66 (0.54–0.76) with 61% sensibility, 73% specificity, and a threshold eGFR value ≤43 mL/min/1.70 m² (gray zone: 26–84). Survivors had a longer length of ECLS support and total hospital stay.

Multivariate analysis using a Cox model, taking into account all covariates, shows an influence of three factors. The independent predictors of 3-month mortality [Table 3] evaluated at the time of ECLS onset included elevated blood lactate levels >5.3 mmol/L and eGFR alteration ≤43 mL/min/m². For these two covariates, risk ratios (RRs) were 2.58 (1.21–5.48) (P = 0.0142) and 2.1 (1.1–4) (P = 0.0239), respectively. Moreover, multivariate analysis revealed an important predictor of survival, which is ECLS weaning success with a RR of 0.0797 (0.036–0.18) (P < 0.0001) while CA did not reach a significant value. With a bootstrap technique, this model was validated by Monte Carlo simulation on 3000 patients (1000 by covariate) with statistical significance (bilateral analysis) for these three covariates (P = 0.013 for lactate blood threshold, 0.024 for eGFR, and P < 0.0001 for ECLS weaning success).

**Long-term outcomes**

Characteristics of long-term survivors are shown in Table 4. Survivors were predominantly male (65.4%) with a long hospital stay (42 days [32–63]) and only 23.1% were discharged from hospital at 30 days. Of the long-term survivors, 19.2% were transplanted and 11.5% were under LVAD support.

Of the 26 survivors, 25 (96%) were evaluated on ADL score, NYHA classification, and work. The majority of patients were paucisymptomatic (64% class 1 or 2 of NYHA) and autonomous (ADL score 6). Nineteen (73%) patients returned home, 3 (12%) were in rehabilitation centers, 3 (12%) were still hospitalized, and 1 (4%) was lost to follow-up. Only nine considered themselves handicapped, although 6 (23.1%) presented with neurological sequelae (ICU tetraparesia or stroke), 3 (11.5%) suffered from anxiodepressive disorders, and 2 (7.7%) had tracheotomies.

**DISCUSSION**

We report here retrospectively our 2-year experience with ECLS in refractory CA and CS on 82 patients, with 30-day survival of 40.2% and 3-month survival of 31.7%. In our population, lactate >5.3 mmol/L and eGFR <43 mL/min/1.73 m² were predictive of 3-month mortality, although ECLS weaning success was protective.

**Thirty-day and 3-month mortality**

As previously described,[14–17] early mortality was significant mainly due to refractory multiorgan failure, and it concerned predominantly the CA population. This most likely reflects a group of patients suffering from irreversible end-organ hypoperfusion, signaling poor ECLS indications.[15–17]
strongly associated with an unfavorable outcome as previously described.[14,18,22,24,25]

Blood lactate concentration, which is a marker of acute physiologic derangement and severe cellular hypoxia, is the best prognostic factors of 3-month mortality in our ECLS patients with a cutoff of 5.3 mmol/L. These results are consistent with previous reports although different cutoffs are noted in other studies.[15,18,23,25,26]

Renal function with eGFR appears to be another strong prognostic factor of mortality as frequently described in literature.[18,22,25,26] even if it is not ever noted.[23] Our threshold of 43 ml/min/1.73 m² is consistent with clinical practice since it is the limit for inscription on emergency transplantation lists in France (“Agence de Biomédecine”) and is in agreement with international guidelines.[27] Renal failure may represent not only a marker of high-risk status in these patients but also a predisposing factor for potentially lethal postimplantation or posttransplantation complications.[25]

Although severity scores[12,28] remain the essential tools used to assess the prognosis of ICU patients including those treated with ECLS.[19] SAPS II was only predictive in univariate analysis in our series.

Even if CA does not reach significance in our series, it is a classical marker of poor prognosis.[14,15,18,25,29] There was also no detectable relationship between indications for ECLS, age, weight, or other comorbidities on mortality, in contrast with others studies.[22–25]

It is not possible to know whether this represents preselection by clinicians of patients or whether our study was underpowered to detect an effect due to other comorbidities or clinical conditions.

Many prediction models for severe acute respiratory failure requiring ECMO have been published and externally validated over recent years.[19,31] Predictive scores for mortality prognostic on ECLS for cardiac failure are less frequent and less robust.[23,29,32] Schmidt et al. recently published the SAVE score based on a large pre-ECLS assessment data extracted from the Extracorporeal Life Support Organization registry.[23] However, scores, especially the SAVE score, are complex leading to limited clinical application. Some authors suggest that simpler scores would be equally effective and easier to apply.[26]

All of these observations reinforce the need for early recognition of patients rapidly progressing to refractory cardiac failure and who need immediate transfer to a medical–surgical center experienced in mechanical circulatory support to prevent multiorgan failure and futile indications as previously suggested.[14]

Extracorporeal life support weaning predictive of 3-month survival

In our series, 42.7% of patients were successfully weaned from ECLS although some authors report better weaning

### Table 4: Characteristics of long-term survivors (n=26)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Male, % (n=26)</th>
<th>Total hospital stay (days, median [95% CI])</th>
<th>Total ICU stay (days, median [95% CI])</th>
<th>Hospitalization output to questionnaire delay (days, median [95% CI])</th>
<th>Discharged from ICU at 30 days, % (n=26)</th>
<th>Discharged from hospital at 30 days, % (n=26)</th>
<th>Rehospitalization during the 3 months period, % (n=26)</th>
<th>Transplantation post-ECLS, % (n=26)</th>
<th>LVAD, % (n=26)</th>
<th>NYHA status, % on 25</th>
<th>NYHA score (1–6) for 25 patients; mean±SD</th>
<th>Handicap, % on 25</th>
<th>Back-to-work, % on 25</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>17 (65.4)</td>
<td>42 (32–63)</td>
<td>32 (23–38)</td>
<td>207 (133–291)</td>
<td>12 (46.15)</td>
<td>6 (23.1)</td>
<td>10 (38.5)</td>
<td>5 (19.2)</td>
<td>3 (11.5)</td>
<td>10 (40)</td>
<td>6±1.5</td>
<td>9 (36)</td>
<td>5 (20)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

On the 26 survivors, 25 (96%) were evaluated on their ADL score, NYHA classification and their work. Handicap: Disability was reported by the patient (ICU tetraparesia, stroke, anxiodepressive disorders, etc.). ADL: Activities of daily living; NYHA: New York Heart Association; ICU: Intensive Care Unit; LVAD: Left ventricular assist device; SD: Standard deviation; ECLS: Extracorporeal life support

With a 30-day mortality of 59.8% and 3-month mortality of 68.3%, we are in accordance with published data (30-day mortality of 37%–76%[18–20] and 3-month mortality of 45%–65%[14,21]) confirming the sick severity of ECLS supported CA or CS patients.

### Complications

Complications were not associated with poor outcomes in our series contrary to several studies.[17,22] Acute pulmonary edema resulting from left ventricular overload is a devastating complication affecting only 13.4% of our population compared to previous reports.[16,18] Unfortunately, we failed to identify any preoperative risk factors that would predict the onset of pulmonary edema. In our institution, no prespecified protocol exists and different left ventricular discharge methods have been used. Contrary to other teams, atrioseptostomy was the technique most used.[14,16]

Others ECLS complications were frequent as already demonstrated, including infections, acute limb ischemia, and bleeding.[16,18] These complications were not associated with a higher mortality and seemed to be correlated to a lengthened duration of ECLS support. This result is related to the time under ECLS support (4 days) as reported in literature (0.3–10 days)[14,16,18,23] and was significantly lower for CA population and longer for survivors.

### Prognostic factors of 3-month mortality

Interestingly, our study focalizes on pre-ECLS prognostic factors for 3-month mortality and not for 30-day or in-hospital mortality. Severe multiorgan failure at ECLS implantation was...
success.\textsuperscript{16,19,24,33} However, in these series, mortality in weaned patients was as high as 50% due to low number of bridge to assistance or transplant projects.\textsuperscript{24} In our population, 5 patients were transplanted, 3 assisted by an LVAD (Heartmate II\textsuperscript{\textregistered}, Thoratec), and for patients who recovered, the survival rate at 3-month was high at 74.3%. Postweaning deaths were secondary to insufficient recovery of the heart and/or organ failure, or ICU complications.

Nonsuccessfully weaned patients had a shorter duration of circulatory support (1.7 vs. 5.8 days) which is largely explained by the early deaths. Thus, prolonged support was found in this group for patients who had not recovered and were no longer accessible to a bridge (max support 15 days for weaned patient vs. 17 in nonweaned patients). These data raise a crucial question of timely withdrawal of ECLS support. Delay must be taken into consideration before evaluation of the patient for weaning, because clinicians must promote systemic hemodynamic restoration and correct multiorgan failure. Furthermore, a period for cardiac functional recovery through ECLS is necessary and could be the first goal in the majority of cases (electrical storm, myocarditis, posttransplantation CS).\textsuperscript{16} Rousse \textit{et al.} found 80% success when weaning was attempted between day 4 and day 11.\textsuperscript{16} Finally, neurological evaluation is necessary before bridge projects, especially for the CA population.\textsuperscript{16} Extended support periods expose patients to ICU and ECLS complications, which worsen prognosis.\textsuperscript{16,17} Timing of ECLS weaning is a major concern for critically ill patients rescued by ECLS for CA or CS. Factors could help in predicting weaning success or failure, but ECLS weaning remains complex and is performed without clear guidelines in most centers. Only a few recent studies address this topic and seek to find prognostic factors of weaning success to propose weaning protocols.\textsuperscript{24,34} In this tool, echocardiography seems to be of interest.\textsuperscript{35,36}

Another finding of importance is that successful weaning from ECLS support was independently predictive of 3-month survival and positive outcome. Consistently, others had also demonstrated that successful ECLS weaning was strongly associated with in-hospital survival.\textsuperscript{19,24} Results are obvious since all patients who were not successfully weaned from ECLS usually died in hospital.\textsuperscript{19} Our data confirms the need of an initial clear project at the time of ECLS onset for each patient and the need to consider early-on a bridge in case of ECLS weaning difficulty.

Long-term prognosis and functional status

We found here the same survival at 3 months and at long-term follow-up (207 [133–291] days) with 26 survivors (31.7%) which confirms the risk of death of these patients, focusing on pre-ECLS and initial ECLS support period (day 1–4). Similarly, previous studies looking at long-term survival have ranged between 18% and 48%.\textsuperscript{34,37} If we analyze only ECLS weaned patients, survival is 74.3% at 3 months and at long-term follow-up in our series.

We described a satisfactory functional status in survivors since 64% were NYHA class 1 or 2, and the vast majority was autonomous with an ADL score of 6 ± 1.5. However, only a few survivors went back to work (20%). Combes \textit{et al.} had described that ECLS patients had significantly lower mean SF-36 scores (physical, general health, and social functioning) compared to French, age- and sex-matched normal controls but were similar with NYHA class III heart failure patients or chronically hemodialyzed patients or ARDS survivors.\textsuperscript{14}

Study limitations

We present here a retrospective and monocentric study with only 82 patients. Thus, it was rather difficult to make a conclusion with respect to the findings from any individual subgroup. Moreover, we chose to study CA and CS in the same analysis as these populations are fairly close in terms of etiology (ECLS implantation under CPR represent only a third of the total population). Likewise, during this period, we did not have a standardized protocol for indications and management of ECLS supported patients, which had changed during the study period, but we did not analyze results by months or years.

Conclusion

Our study shows that for patients with CA or CS refractory to conventional treatment, ECLS support might provide a reasonable chance of long-term survival. Blood lactate concentrations >5.3 mmol/L and eGFR ≤43 ml/min/1.73 m\textsuperscript{2} at ECLS intiation are prognostic of 3-month mortality, which is also influenced by ECLS weaning success. These factors might serve as assessment parameters for risk stratification in clinical settings for predicting prognostic outcome regarding ECLS support. However, further prospective, multicentered, and randomized controlled investigations are required for evaluating the additional benefit of ECLS support in clinical setting of CS and CA.
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